

Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry 1311 Code Adoption minutes.

Meeting: 2/17/12

Time: 8:30 am – 10:30 am

Location: MDLI. Minnesota room.

Attendance:

Present:

David Krings DLI

Christopher Meier DLI

Paul Nahurski BOMA

John Kerwin Developer

Tim Manz AMBO

Greg Karow AMBO

Dave Macdonald MN SEA

Dave Leighly AIA

Dave Phillips AIA Minnesota

Leanna Shaff FMAM

Alternates:

Present:

Jon Nisja MSFMD

Gene Abbott AMBO

Interested Parties:

Gerhard Guth CCAC

Mark Mikkelson Anderson Comp.

Ginny Lackovic HGA / MPLS HPC

Meeting called to order:

Chair: Dave Krings.

Introduction:

1. Dave Krings informed committee about the structural requirements within the IEBC being reviewed by the structural committee. The structural committee is making sure there are no discrepancies between the IEBC, IBC and MSBC. Presently the format of the IEBC appears to correlate with the other codes. Dave also stated if any discrepancies are noted the IEBC committee will be informed.
 - a. Dave Macdonald - MN SEA confirmed Dave K. comments.
2. Review minutes.
 - a. Consensus to approve 1/05/12 minutes.
 - b. Approved.

Review from 1/05/12 meeting.

3. Greg Johnson proposal from previous meeting pertaining to 1311.0040.
 - a. Dave Krings clearly stated that this is only an endorsement from this committee to support the language change from may to shall and has to be addressed by the 1300 committee. i.e. Mike Godfrey and Doug Nord.
 - i. Motioned. (Dave Leighly).
 - ii. Second. (Dave Phillips).
 - iii. Consensus. (Approved). Note: As stated, this is only endorsing Greg Johnsons' proposal and will need to be addressed by the 1300 committee.
 - iv. Co-chair (Chris Meier) will submit proposal to 1300 committee.
4. Chapter 3.
 - a. 1311.301.1.1 Prescriptive compliance method. (Revised submittal from previous meeting).
 - i. Submitted by:
 1. Jon Nisja.
 2. Gene Abbot.
 - b. Dave Krings stated the new language submitted gave more latitude in requiring a report or analysis to be completed by the Building Official.
 - i. Key language changed from the previous submittal;
 1. The evaluation **shall** be prepared by a
 2. (To).
 - a. The evaluation **may** be prepared by a.
 - ii. Key language changed from the previous submittal:
 1. Prepared by a **Minnesota state certified level II or level III fire inspector, a Minnesota licensed architect, or a registered fire protection engineer.**

2. Prepared by a **Registered Design Professional or other approved Agency** that demonstrates that the building complies with Chapter 11 of the Minnesota State Fire Code.

a. Discussion:

- i. Greg Karow mentioned he was concerned about the language being too specific and that having approved professional would not be so specific.
- ii. Dave Leighly commented that he preferred the language as registered design professional.
- iii. Dave Krings noted the Building Official can make that decision.
- iv. Paul Nahurski Suggested to insert qualified instead of registered.
- v. John Kerwin Motioned to approve original proposal.
- vi. Consensus: Approved.
- vii. **New Language.**

1. 1311.0301.1.1 Prescriptive compliance method. Repairs, alterations, additions and changes of occupancy complying with Chapter 4 of this code in buildings complying with **Chapter 11** of the **Minnesota State Fire Code** shall be considered in compliance with the provisions of this code. **The Building Official may require the owner to submit a written report prepared by a Registered Design Professional or other Approved agency that demonstrates that the building complies with Chapter 11 of the Minnesota State Fire Code.**

5. Chapter 4.

a. 403.1 – General.

i. David Phillips.

1. Language changed.

b. Discussion:

- i. Dave krings stated that the driving language of 403 – Alterations does not make the proposal necessary.
- ii. Dave Phiillips stated he did not want contraversery with local jurisdictions.
- iii. After discussion Dave Leighly motioned Greg Karow proposal on 403.1.
 1. Dave Phillips Proposal.
 2. 1311.403.1 General.
 - a. Exceptions:

be required to comply with the requirements of Section 1012.6 of the International Building Code regarding full extension of the handrails where such extensions would be hazardous due to plan configuration.

- c. 407.1
 - i. David Leighly.
 - 1. Withdrew proposal.
- d. 407.1 – Conformance.
 - i. David Leighly.
 - 1. Add use classification table to language to designate relative hazard to occupancies.
 - 2. Dave Leighly wanted clarity for the building Official on use classification hazards. Similar to the existing GREB code.
 - 3. Dave Krings suggested a different proposal with use classifications.
- e. Discussion:
 - i. Questions raised on Dave Krings proposal and not having time to digest.
 - 1. After lengthy discussion.
 - 2. Gene Abbot Motioned to table and come back with new proposal.
 - a. Dave Phillips second.
 - b. Consensus: Approved.
 - c. Note: Dave Leighly commented he would assist Gene Abbott in re-writing 407.1 – conformance language.

6. Chapter 2.

- a. 202 - Existing Buildings.
 - i. David Phillips
 - 1. Language changed:
 - a. Adoption of ~~the appropriate~~ code.
 - b. (To).
 - i. Adoption of this code.
- b. Discussion:
 - i. Dave Krings is in favor of changing the language.
 - ii. Gene Abbott asked if this was conflicting with the IBC.
 - iii. Dave Phillips stated that the documents will not conflict because the IBC is for new construction and the IEBC is for existing structures.
 - iv. John Nisja in favor.
 - v. Tim Manz in favor.
 - vi. John Nisja Motioned.
 - vii. Dave Leighly second.
 - viii. Consensus: Approved.
 - ix. New Language.

1. 202 Existing Buildings. A building erected prior to the date of adoption of **this** code, or one for which a legal building permit has been issued.

7. Chapter 5.

a. 502.1 – Repairs.

i. David Leighly.

1. Language changed:

a. Replacement of damaged materials, elements,

b. (To).

i. Replacement of **worn or** damaged materials, elements,

c. Discussion:

i. Dave Leighly just wants clarification.

ii. Dave Phillips motioned.

iii. Paul Nahurski second.

iv. Consensus: approved.

d. **New Language.**

i. 502.1 Scope. Repairs, as defined in Chapter 2, include the patching or restoration or replacement of **worn or** damaged materials, elements, equipment or fixtures for the purpose of maintaining such components in good or sound condition with respect to existing loads or performance requirements.

8. Chapter 6.

a. 609.2 Plumbing.

i. Dave Phillips.

1. Withdrew language.

9. Chapter 7.

a. 704.1 – General.

i. David Leighly.

1. Language changed.

a. Repairs shall be done in a manner

b. (To).

i. **Repairs Alterations** shall be done in a manner.

c. Discussion:

i. Dave Phillips wants better clarity.

1. Dave Macdonald motioned.

2. Paul Nahurski second.

3. Consensus: Approved.

d. **New Language.**

- i. 704.1 General Alterations shall be done in a manner that maintains the level of protection provided for the means of egress.

10. Chapter 8.

- a. 805.10 guards.
 - i. Dave Phillips.
 - 1. Withdrew language.

11. Chapter 4.

- a. Dave Phillips.
- b. Stairways.
- c. Proposal postponed until next meeting. (3/1/2012).

12. next meeting Thursday March 1, 8:30-11:30 am

13. Motion to adjourn.

14. Consensus: Approved.