

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENT FORM FOR PROPOSED CODE CHANGES

(This form must be submitted electronically)

*Author/requestor:* John G. Smith, P.E.

*Email address:* jsmith@michaudcooley.com

*Telephone number:* (612) 673-6831

*Firm/Association affiliation, if any:* BOMA

**1323 CE-32**

---

### **Proposed Code Change - Language**

2012 IECC Chapter 4 COMMERCIAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY  
Subparagraph C403.4.3.3.3 Two position valve

Revise as noted:

**C403.4.3.3.3 Two position valve.** Each hydronic heat pump ~~on the hydronic system having a total pump system power exceeding 10 horsepower (hp) (7.5 kW)~~ shall have a two-position automatic valve interlocked to shut off water flow when the compressor is off.

### **Proposed Code Change – Need and Reason**

The revisions change the wording to be the same as the current Rules 1323 requirements. From an energy standpoint, heat pump systems should employ the use of the two way valves.

### **Proposed Code Change – Cost/Benefit Analysis**

All of the above revisions are in the current Rules 1323.

## Other Factors to Consider Related to Proposed Code Change

1. Is this proposed code change meant to:

Xchange language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s). 2012 IECC subparagraph identified above

change language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

delete language contained in a published code book? If so, list section(s).

delete language contained in an existing amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, list Rule part(s).

neither; this language will be new language, not found in the code book or in Minnesota Rule.

2. Is this proposed code change required by a Minnesota Statute or new legislation? If so, please provide the citation to the Statute or legislation. No
3. Will this proposed code change impact other sections of a published code book or of an amendment in Minnesota Rule? If so, please list the affected sections or rule parts. No
4. Will this proposed code change impact other parts of the Minnesota State Building Code? If so, please list the affected parts of the Minnesota State Building Code. No
5. Who are the parties affected or segments of industry affected by this proposed code change? Building owners, contractors
6. Can you think of other means or methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed code change? If so, please explain what they are and why your proposed change is the preferred method or means to achieve the desired result. No
7. Are you aware of any federal requirement or regulation related to this proposed code change? If so, please list the regulation or requirement. No