

PLUMBING BOARD: NOTICE OF FINAL INTERPRETATION

On September 16, 2013, the Minnesota Plumbing Board issued a Final Interpretation, which is printed below, pursuant to Minnesota Statutes §§ 326B.435, subdivision 2(a)(4), and 326B.127, subdivision 5. The submitter agreed that this Request for Interpretation would be heard by the Board at its July 16, 2013 meeting.

Any person aggrieved by this Final Interpretation may appeal it by filing a petition for a writ of certiorari with the Minnesota Court of Appeals pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 14.63.

As required by Minnesota Statutes § 326B.127, subd. 5, the Plumbing Board will consider this Final Interpretation for adoption as part of the Code. Questions may be directed to Patricia Munkel-Olson, phone: (651) 284-5128, *email*: patricia.munkel-olson@state.mn.us. TTY users may call (651) 297-4198.

FINAL INTERPRETATION

Inquiry: PB0078
Subject: Low pressure hazard
Code Reference: Minnesota Plumbing Code, Minnesota Rules Part 4715.2110, item N (2011)
Submitted by: Mr. Daniel Ruelle
Shannon's Inc.
1919 Main Avenue
International Falls, MN 56649
Approved by: Minnesota Plumbing Board
by John Parizek, Board Chair
Date Received: June 20, 2013
Issue Date: September 16, 2013
Background: On July 16, 2013, the Board considered en banc information regarding the application of the Plumbing Code as it relates to the connection of the domestic water supply to a combi-oven in a commercial kitchen.

The submitter agreed that this Final Interpretation could be issued more than 30 days after his request for interpretation was received (PB0078).

The Board considered information presented by DLI Plumbing Inspector Jim Peterson. Mr. Ruelle was not in attendance. All parties were heard and had fair opportunity to present facts, thoughts, opinions, and challenges pertaining to each questions contained in this Final Interpretation. The Board also sought comment, thoughts, opinions, and questions from persons in attendance.

As required by Minnesota Statutes § 326B.127, subd. 5, the Plumbing Board will consider this Final Interpretation for adoption as part of the Plumbing Code.

Question One: Is a combi-oven a low hazard application?
Answer One: Yes.
Commentary: All parties were heard and had fair opportunity to present facts, thoughts, opinions, and challenges pertaining to Question One.

Question Two: Is the combi-oven subject to back pressure?
Answer Two: Yes.
Commentary: All parties were heard and had fair opportunity to present facts, thoughts, opinions, and challenges pertaining to Question Two.

Question Three: Is a double-check valve with intermediate atmosphere vent adequate protection for a combi-oven?
Answer Three: Yes.
Commentary: All parties were heard and had fair opportunity to present facts, thoughts, opinions, and challenges pertaining to Question Three. The Board also read dual check and double-check documentation for clarification.

Date: 09/16/2013 /s/John Parizek
John Parizek, Chair
Minnesota Plumbing Board