## Spuckler، Amanda (DLI)

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:
Attachments:
Mike Jindra < Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com>
Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)
ASHRAE 62.2 letter
SKM_C36819112612150.pdf

Hi Amanda,
I faxed a letter in earlier this morning from myself.
Attached is a signed letter from one of my customers who believe in balanced ventilation.
If you want to send out a confirmation that you received it to him, his email is aaron@riccarhvac.com
I will probably be sending you a few more as I receive them.
Thank you for your attention on this,
Mike Jindra | Account Manager-Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | P (952) 935-0445, Ext: 2219 | F (952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344

repovere
or Werll
dakotasupplygroup.com
From: st.pcopier@dsginc.biz [st.pcopier@dsginc.biz](mailto:st.pcopier@dsginc.biz)
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:16 PM
To: mjindra@dsginc.biz
Subject: Message from KM_C368

11/26/2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346
Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation șystems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


## Aaron Bosen

Riccar Heating
Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

NOTE: Balanced ventilation has proven to be a much better method for ventilating new, tightly constructed homes in our climate. It has given us much more control of pressure and indoor air quality in our customer's homes and has eliminated some negative side effects of exhaust only ventilation. We feel allowing this method again would be a step backwards in our industry, and as a company, we would not revert back to using that method of satisfying code requirements.


To: Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

From: Rick Cobbs
The Energy Network Worldwide
$1559260^{\text {th }}$ Ave N,
Plymouth, MN 55446
11/25/19
Re: PROPOSAL TO ADD ASHRAE 62.2-2016 TO MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 AS A VENTILATION COMPLIANCE OPTION.

Dear Mis. Spuckler:
I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This would add ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path for residential ventilation.

We are in a unique situation in Minnesota as we are one, if not the only jurisdiction which currently requires balanced ventilation. We are also building some of the tightest homes in the country and because of this proper ventilation is important for the health of the occupants and the durability of the structure.

If ASHRAE 62.2, is added as an option this will allow the options of other ventilation systems which are not balanced. This will essentially turn back the current code, and in my opinion, most residential builders will return to exhaust only ventilation using continuous running bath fans.

I feel if Minnesota is going to allow ASHRAE 62.2, there needs to be a public hearing with input from industry stakeholders that understand this implication.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


Rick Cobbs
Director of Production Services
The Energy Network Worlwide

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Wilson [Mike.Wilson@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Wilson@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 27, 2019 2:31 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Cc: | Mike Wilson |
| Subject: | request fo code hearing |
| Attachments: | $11-27-19$ doli comment.docx; MN DOLI - Chapter1346 Comment Template.docx |

Amanda,

There is two attachments which request for a hearing in the ST of MN 1346 code making process
Please respond that you receive these attachments

Mike Wilson | Technical Application Specialist - HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | P (952) 935-0445 , Ext: 2217 \| F (952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
ns Pomers
or $4 e^{\min } 13 \sqrt{5}$
ve $\omega$,
dakotasupplygroup.com

Department of Labor and Industry c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler

443 Lafayette Road N., St. Paul, MN 55155

RE: Rulemaking process for MN rules chapter 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed language changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 Allowing ASHREA 62.2 as an alternative compliance path option would be irresponsible from an Indoor Air Quality, Building Durability, additional CO2 contribution and would lead to more comfort complaints from home owners. This request is to remove ASHREA 62.2 as an alternate path to MN Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and 1309

Since 1981 I have been involved in the HVAC industry, specializing in Ventilation. Approximately half of my career has been in the field as an installing HVAC Tech/Service and the balance of that time has been as a technical person dealing with all aspects of residential ventilation both Exhaust -only and Balanced systems. Also, since 1994 have been involved with several code committees (MN) regarding energy, make up air, and ventilation as code cycles came up for review.

As a current College HVAC instructor and a respected trainer in the construction industry, I have presented State of MN Energy codes for Continuing Education for Builders, Inspectors , and Architects. As you might know these programs have to be vetted for content and accuracy. Also have presented these same sessions to the HVAC community, have been involved in these training since 1998, countless amount of times. When the Weatherization (CAP)industry was required to adopt ASHREA 62.2 several years ago, I was selected to write the curriculum, build the train the trainer program, and do some of training state wide for the Weatherization (CAP) groups

The Sonar states, "Because ASHREA 62.2 has the same performance requirement for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322 it is a reasonable to permit the use of ASHREA 62.2 as an alternative." Having Trained, Designed, Installed, Serviced and Consulted to both HVAC (MN 1322) and the Weatherization (ASHREA 62.2) Community's, I have a very clear and detailed view point why this statement is false. If needed I can go into a comparison of both codes with detail if that would be helpful. Attached is Mike Moore's letter that go into some of the other details

I would appreciate your confirmation that this document and e-mail was receiver and submitted in an acceptable format to be considered as an official comment

Respectfully,

Mike D. Wilson
Technical Service Advisor -Dakota Supply Group
Faculty HVAC Instructor- Minneapolis Technical and Community College

Dakota Supply Group
475 West Minnehaha Ave W
St. Paul, MN, 55107

DATE

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $\mathrm{H} /$ /ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7 .
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Sincerely,
Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1.322

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among nonsmokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: establishes minimum requirements (Table R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the requirement for fan efficacy, meaning the energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This deregulation of |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | energy use of ventilation systems is in direct opposition to Minnesota <br> Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next <br> Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for <br> the state. Energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to <br> achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise savings <br> gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow rate <br> required at twice the <br> continuous outdoor air rate <br> to provide extra ventilation <br> capacity as needed <br> (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" requirements; <br> however, there are requirements for <br> local exhaust in addition to outdoor <br> air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing <br> the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVI <br> Standard 920, 72 hours <br> minus 135 (-I0 C) cold <br> weather test or be certified <br> by a registered professional <br> engineer (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold weather test | Without the cold weather test... (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN THE <br> BLANK) |
| Distribution: requires <br> delivery of outdoor air to <br> each habitable space <br> (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution requirement. ASHRAE <br> 62.2 dwelling unit ventilation <br> requirements may be met by a single <br> bathroom exhaust fan located in a <br> remote corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If <br> ASHRAE 62.2 is approved, the requirement for distribution will be <br> removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home <br> (such as the master bedroom) would be approved to provide dwelling <br> unit ventilation. Such a configuration could provide little to no air <br> quality benefit in other areas of the home (such as children's |
| bedrooms). |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { MN Rules, Chapter 1322 } \\ \text { Ventilation Requirements }\end{array} & \text { ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, } \\ \text { Chapter 1322 }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { requirement to temper outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These } \\ \text { energy-efficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in } \\ \text { cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in ASHRAE 90.1 to } \\ \text { require H/ERVs for dwelling units in the prescriptive path for climate } \\ \text { zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is } \\ \text { expected in the 2021 IECC, based on the public comment hearing } \\ \text { vote for approval in October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see } \\ \text { proposal CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota, which has led the nation in } \\ \text { this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in } \\ \text { energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are beginning to } \\ \text { follow Minnesota's lead. }\end{array}\right\}$

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Installation of ventilation <br> system components shall <br> minimize transmission of <br> noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for installation to <br> minimize noise and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's current requirements that <br> promote quality installation. |
| Controls are required to be <br> readily accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required to be <br> readily accessible in all cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility of controls and occupants' <br> ability to use them effectively in some cases. |

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Daniels [mike.daniels@auersteel.com](mailto:mike.daniels@auersteel.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 27, 2019 12:51 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | MN DOLI - Chapter 1346 Comment Submission Letter |
| Attachments: | MN DOLI - Chapter1346 Comment Submission.docx |

Dear Ms. Spuckler and the Department of Labor and Industry,

Please accept my comment submission letter. See attached.

Thank you.

Mike Daniels
Vice President of Sales \& Marketing | Auer Steel \& Heating Supply Co.
Direct: 763-450-9226 or Ext 3226 | Cell: 763-286-2999

DATE

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
I request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 (part of R-04515).
I additionally request that the proposals to allow ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 be removed from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code. These provisions have been successfully implemented by the market place and have provided significant indoor air quality and energy-savings benefits to Minnesota purchasers of new homes.

The proposal to all ASHRAE 62.2 will increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans.

The justification in the SONAR for adoption of 62.2 is misleading and erroneous:

1. The SONAR asserts that "ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322" as an argument to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternate compliance path. In fact, the performance requirements of 62.2 and Chapter 1322 are very different, and 62.2-compliant systems under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria.
2. The SONAR claims incorrectly that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." The two are different in at least four areas:
a. Installation requirements: ASHRAE 62.2 does not require installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, but Chapter 1322 does.
b. Control of Ventilation: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, so that occupants' have full control over their Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) systems, but ASHRAE 62.2 does not.
c. Air change rates:
i. ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, and in some cases requires no mechanical ventilation. Chapter 1346 as it stands requires a minimum of 40 cfm .
ii. Chapter 1322 requires roughly double the ventilation air change rates for unfinished basements than does ASHRAE 62.2.
These are not just technical or editorial differences. They represent a significant step backwards for the indoor air quality and health of Minnesota home-buyers. See the attachment for the many other differences.

The proposed text in for Chapter 1346.0050 adds the statement that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change". In fact, ASHRAE 62.2 constitutes a rapidly changing target. In ASHRAE parlance this is a "continuous
maintenance" standard, changes rapidly, and already has been replaced by an updated standard with many significant changes. ASHRAE 62.2-2016 no longer represents the best thinking on the subject of residential ventilation.

Minnesota displayed leadership in residential IAQ and ventilation efficiency when it adopted the current relevant provisions in Chapters 1322 and 1349. Now, model codes and standards around the nation are catching up to Minnesota's leadership, validating the effectiveness and good sense of the current provisions.

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7 .
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ s based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path, ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota will increase and residential IAQ will decline.

Therefore, I request ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for your consideration and acceptance of my comment.
Sincerely,
Mike Daniels
VP Sales \& Marketing
Auer Steel \& Heating Supply Co.
Attachment: Differences between MN Rules and ASHRAE 62.2-2016, and analysis of impacts of proposed rule changes
Attachment: Differences between MN Rules and ASHRAE 62.2-2016, and analysis of impacts of proposed rule changes
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation } \\ \text { Requirements }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 } \\ \text { Provisions }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { What happens if } \mathbf{6 2 . 2} \text { is allowed as an alternative compliance path } \\ \text { in Minnesota? }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { R403.5: Balanced outdoor air } \\ \text { ventilation is required. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Allows for exhaust, supply, or } \\ \text { balanced outdoor air ventilation. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { A single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air } \\ \text { requirements, depressurizing the dwelling unit. Depressurization can } \\ \text { compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing } \\ \text { contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, } \\ \text { crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where } \\ \text { present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung } \\ \text { cancer among non-smokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { R403.5.3: Sets a minimum } \\ \text { continuous ventilation rate at 40 } \\ \text { cfm. }\end{array} & \text { No minimum ventilation rate } & \begin{array}{l}\text { In some dwelling units, no mechanical ventilation at all would be } \\ \text { required. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { R403.5.6.1.2: Temper outdoor air } \\ \text { provided directly to habitable spaces. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { No requirement to temper outdoor } \\ \text { air }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Introducing un-tempered outdoor air can be very uncomfortable } \\ \text { conditions indoors, so occupants simply disable their ventilation } \\ \text { system. The result is worse indoor air quality. In winter, expect too } \\ \text { much moisture and potential for condensation and mold growth } \\ \text { which is bad for Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) and ultimately compromise a } \\ \text { home's structural integrity. } \\ \text { It is easy to satisfy the requirement to temper outdoor air by } \\ \text { specifying a Heat or Energy Recovery Ventilator (H/ERV), and this } \\ \text { saves large amounts of energy in the cold Minnesota climate. }\end{array} \\ \text { ASHRAE go.1 and the 2021 IECC are likely to require H/ERVs for } \\ \text { dwelling units in climate zones } 6 \text { and } 7 \text { (see 2019 Section } 6.5 .6 .1) .\end{array}, \begin{array}{l}\text { Minnesota has led the nation in provisions for energy-efficient } \\ \text { ventilation, and would vacate this leadership role just as the model } \\ \text { codes are beginning to follow its lead. }\end{array}\right\}$

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Provisions | What happens if $\mathbf{6 2 . 2}$ is allowed as an alternative compliance path in Minnesota? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| minimum of one supply and one return duct, must be provided. |  |  |
| R403.5: Preventing distribution shortcircuiting: supply and return ducts are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement. | No requirement for distribution in basements | Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, and the ventilation system does not work. |
| Table R403.5.1: establishes minimum Fan efficacy requirements. | None | Energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly requirement for fan efficacy. <br> The proposed deregulation of energy use of ventilation systems is contrary to Minnesota Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state, since energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to achieve GHG savings. |
| R403.5.2: Extra ventilation capacity: the "total" ventilation flow rate must be twice the continuous outdoor air rate, so extra ventilation capacity is available. | No "total ventilation" requirements; however, there are requirements for local exhaust in addition to outdoor air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| 403.5.6.1: Outdoor air must be distributed to each habitable space. | No distribution requirements; a single bathroom exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home meets the standards. | Ventilation "systems" consisting of a single exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be accepted, but could provide little to no air quality benefit in other areas of the home (e.g. children's bedrooms). |
| R403.5.9: In most cases prohibits simultaneous connection of both supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system. | No limitations. | Poor installation methods for integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation systems will be allowed: these ventilation systems don't provide acceptable IAQ. |
| R403.5.10: Backdraft dampers are required on supply and exhaust ventilation systems. | No requirements | Energy use will increase because backdraft dampers reduce air leakage from the building when ventilation systems are not operating. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation <br> Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Provisions | What happens if $\mathbf{6 2 . 2}$ is allowed as an alternative compliance path <br> in Minnesota? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R403.5.14.4: Readily-accessible <br> Ventilation System Controls. | Not required in all cases to be readily <br> accessible. | If occupants can't easily control their ventilation systems, they are <br> more likely simply to shut them off. |
| R403.5.6.I.3: In-situ airflow <br> verification required for all airflows <br> greater than 30 cfm (including <br> exhaust-only and H/ERVs) and <br> available to building official upon <br> request. | In-situ flow verification not required <br> for local exhaust systems. No <br> requirement for making test results <br> available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and <br> operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of <br> providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective <br> alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided <br> to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |
| R403.5.7: Maximum intermittent <br> ventilation noise level: 2.5 sones. | Maximum intermittent ventilation <br> sone level: 3 sones. No requirement <br> for exhaust fans with a minimum <br> airflow setting exceeding 400 cfm. | The main reason that range hoods are not operated is because <br> occupants believe they are "too noisy" (study by LBNL). MN's current <br> requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 supports operation of <br> quiet range hoods and consequently, better indoor air quality for <br> occupants. |

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 27, 2019 12:32 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE 62.2 |
| Attachments: | CCI11272019_0001.jpg; CCl11272019_0006.jpg |

Hi Amanda,
Attached is from Brian Ebert, the new construction manager at Air Mechanical His email is brianncgm@airmechanical.com

Thanks,

Mike Jindra | Account Manager-Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | P (952) 935-0445, Ext: 2219 | F (952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344
mPowere


dakotasupplygroup.com

DATE

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an altemative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322 's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322 , and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their $1 A Q$ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2 .
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7 .
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1 - 2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Sincerely,


Brian Ebert
Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 27, 2019 12:29 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE 62.2 |
| Attachments: | CCl11272019_0001.jpg; CCl11272019_0005.jpg |

Hi Amanda,
Attached is from Lynda Brooks, purchasing manager at Air Mechanical.
Her email is
purchasing@airmechanical.com

Thanks,

Mike Jindra | Account Manager-Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | P (952) 935-0445, Ext: 2219 \| F (952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344
memers



## dakotasupplygroup.com

DATE

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy tequirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322 , and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical veritlation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their $1 A Q$ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, aS ASHRAE classiffes Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6. I now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $H / E R V$ s in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Sincerely,


Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322
LyNDA Brooks

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 27، 2019 12:27 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE 62.2 |
| Attachments: | CCl11272019_0001.jpg; CCl11272019_0004.jpg |

Hi Amanda,
The attached is from Brandon Patterson, install manager at Air Mechanical
His email address is
jobsup@airmechanical.com

Thanks,

Mike Jindra | Account Manager - Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | P (952) 935-0445, Ext: 2219|F(952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344
ne Powner
or 斯e-: 45
dakotasupplygroup.com

DATE

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RUIES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2 .
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard, For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ s in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7 .
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Jindra[Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 27, 2019 12:22 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE 62.2 |
| Attachments: | CCl11272019_0001.jpg; CCl11272019_0003.jpg |

Hi Amanda,
This is from Ross Erickson the owner of Air Mechanical
His email is rami1@airmechanicalinc.com

Thanks,

Mike Jindra | Account Manager-Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | P (952) 935-0445, Ext: 2219 | F (952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344
mponter
or ${ }^{4 x} \square=\sqrt{5}$
dakotasupplygroup.com

DATE

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322 's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322 , it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322 , and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2 .
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change. This statement is false, as ASHRAE classiffes Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ s in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE $90.1-2019$ for dwelling uni H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASH RAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
 ROSS ERICKSON

Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Jindra[Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 27, 2019 12:18 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE 62.2 |
| Attachments: | CCl11272019_0002.jpg; CCl11272019_0001.jpg |

Hi Amanda,
This is from Mike Nesdahl at Air Mechanical
His email address is mnesdahl@airmechanical.com
Thanks,

Mike Jindra | Account Manager-Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | P (952) 935-0445, Ext: 2219|F(952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344

dakotasupplygroup.com

DATE

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322 's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is fauty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322 , and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates; Ventiation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their $1 A Q$ will be limited under 62.2 .
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already beer replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minhesota's leadership in this regard, For example:

1. ASHRAE 90:1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERV based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE 133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington state is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Sincerely,

## suealal <br> MiKe Nespall, Air Mechanical

Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Nicole Westfall - MEEA [nwestfall@mwalliance.org](mailto:nwestfall@mwalliance.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 4:27 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | Comments on Proposed Rules and Request for Hearing - Chapter 1346 |
| Attachments: | MEEA comments to DLI - Chapter1346 - Nov 2019 - Final.pdf |

Dear Ms. Spuckler,

Please find attached comments from the Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance on the proposed Minnesota Mechanical Code (Chapter 1346). Thank you for the opportunity to comment and please let me know if you have any questions.

Kind regards,
Nicole

Nicole Westfall
Senior Building Policy Associate
Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (MEEA)
312.374.0918 | Www.mwalliance.org

20 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1301

11/26/2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346
Dear Ms. Spuckler:
Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. The Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance requests a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Chapter 1346, specifically in relation to the addition of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322. MEEA strongly recommends the removal of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path from both Chapter 1346 and Chapter 1309 (by reference). The rationale for its removal is based on incorrect information. Additionally, introducing this alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase individual ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans.

The rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their $I A Q$ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.

20 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1301 Chicago, Illinois 60606
312.587.8390 Main Line 312.587.8391 Fax
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for individual fans in dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

Minnesota Administration Rules specifies that health and ventilation must be considered as part of Minnesota's Building Code. Rule 1300.0030, Subpart 11 states, "The purpose of this code is to establish minimum requirements to safeguard the public health, safety, and general welfare through structural strength, means of egress facilities, stability, sanitation, adequate light and ventilation, energy conservation, and safety to life and property from fire and other hazards attributed to the built environment and to provide safety to firefighters and emergency responders during emergency operations."

Balanced ventilation as required by Minnesota's current mechanical code is an industry best practice to provide healthy indoor air exchange. Allowing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance pathway could allow ventilation systems to be designed in a way that provides inadequate fresh air to occupants. Under ASHRAE 62.2, ventilation systems can be designed to be exhaust only, which studies have found to be a less effective method of whole house ventilation. Research by the Building Science Corporation found that exhaust only ventilation systems resulted in higher

[^0]20 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1301 Chicago. Illinois 60606
312.587.8390 Main Line 312.587.8391 Fax www.mwalliance.org
concentrations of particulates and other VOCs than balanced systems². The buildup of these pollutants can have significant health implications for occupants, including increased instances of allergies and asthma.

For these reasons, we request ASHRAE 62.2 be removed as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309. Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,
The Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance

Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

[^1]Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation <br> Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balanced ventilation <br> required to provide <br> outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced <br> ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or <br> balanced are permitted to <br> provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan <br> to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a <br> dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only <br> systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by <br> introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as <br> garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating <br> entrainment of radon gas where present below the <br> foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer <br> among non-smokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous <br> ventilation rate of 40 <br> cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate <br> backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going <br> down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical <br> ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for <br> conditioned, unfinished <br> basements, or a <br> minimum of one supply <br> and one return duct <br> (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air <br> only required in finished <br> spaces, based on definition in <br> ANSI Standard Z765. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as <br> much as 50\% for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 <br> recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in <br> future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for <br> conditioned <br> crawlspaces, or a <br> minimum of one supply <br> and one return duct <br> (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air <br> only required in finished <br> spaces, based on definition in <br> ANSI Standard Z765. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 <br> would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned <br> crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a <br> supply and return duct <br> are used to meet <br> ventilation requirement <br> for basement, they | No requirement for distribution <br> in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution <br> requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air <br> quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation <br> distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation <br> Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| must be separated by <br> 1/2 the diagonal <br> dimension of the <br> basement to avoid <br> short circuiting (R403.5) |  | ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system <br> ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: <br> establishes minimum <br> requirements (Table <br> R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan <br> efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the <br> requirement for fan efficacy, meaning the energy required to <br> operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This <br> deregulation of energy use of ventilation systems is in direct <br> opposition to Minnesota Statutes Section 216H.02, <br> Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that <br> establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state. <br> Energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to <br> achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise <br> savings gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow <br> rate required at twice <br> the continuous outdoor <br> air rate to provide extra <br> ventilation capacity as <br> needed (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" <br> requirements; however, there <br> are requirements for local <br> exhaust in addition to outdoor <br> air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of <br> reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in <br> Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVl <br> Standard 920, 72 hours <br> minus $130^{\circ} F$ <br> weather test or be cold <br> certified by a registered <br> professional engineer <br> (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold <br> weather test | Without the cold weather test... (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN <br> THE BLANK) |
| Distribution: requires <br> delivery of outdoor air | No distribution requirement. <br> ASHRAE 62.2 dwelling unit <br> ventilation requirements may | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If <br> ASHRAE 62.2 is approved, the requirement for distribution will <br> be removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { MN Rules, Chapter 1322 } \\
\text { Ventilation } \\
\text { Requirements }\end{array} & \text { ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, } \\
\text { Chapter } 1322\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { to each habitable } \\
\text { space (R403.5.6.1) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { be met by a single bathroom } \\
\text { exhaust fan located in a } \\
\text { remote corner of the home. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be } \\
\text { approved to provide dwelling unit ventilation. Such a } \\
\text { configuration could provide little to no air quality benefit in } \\
\text { other areas of the home (such as children's bedrooms). }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Outdoor air provided } \\
\text { directly to habitable } \\
\text { spaces shall be } \\
\text { tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { No requirement to temper } \\
\text { outdoor air }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Introducing outdoor air without tempering it (as approved by } \\
\text { 62.2) can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, } \\
\text { prompting occupants to disable their ventilation system. } \\
\text { Disabling ventilation systems can be expected to result in } \\
\text { poor indoor air quality, high moisture, and increased } \\
\text { condensation potential that can support mold growth and } \\
\text { ultimately compromise a home's structural integrity. Further, }\end{array}
$$ <br>
builders/designers frequently satisfy the requirement to <br>
temper outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These energy- <br>
efficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in <br>
cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in <br>
ASHRAE 90.1 to require H/ERVs for dwelling units in the <br>
prescriptive path for climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section <br>
6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is expected in the 2021 IECC, <br>

based on the public comment hearing vote for approval in\end{array}\right\}\)| October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see proposal |
| :--- |
| CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota, which has led the nation in |
| this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in |
| energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are |
| beginning to follow Minnesota's lead. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation <br> Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum intermittent <br> ventilation sone level: <br> 2.5 sones R403.5.7) | Maximum intermittent <br> ventilation sone level: 3 sones. <br> No requirement for exhaust <br> fans with a minimum airflow <br> setting exceeding 400 cfm. <br> No requirement for remotely <br> mounted fans. | Studies by Lawrence Berkeley National Lab have shown that <br> a primary reason that range hoods are not operated is <br> because occupants believe they are "too noisy". MN's <br> current requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 supports <br> operation of range hoods and consequently, better indoor air <br> quality for occupants. |
| Prohibits simultaneously <br> connecting both supply <br> and return ventilation <br> air ducts to a forced air <br> circulation system, with <br> exception (R403.5.9) | No limitations to ducting <br> supply and return ventilation <br> air ducts to a forced air <br> circulation system | ASHRAE 62.2 permits poor installation practices when <br> integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation <br> systems - which can render ventilation systems completely <br> ineffective in providing acceptable IAQ. |
| Backdraft dampers are <br> required on supply and <br> exhaust ventilation <br> systems (R403.5.10) | No dampers required on <br> individually ducted supply or <br> exhaust ventilation system | Backdraft dampers help reduce air leakage from the building <br> when ventilation systems are not operating - thereby saving <br> energy. Removing this requirement from MN's code is <br> expected to increase energy use and promote over- <br> ventilation. |
| Installation of <br> ventilation system <br> components shall <br> minimize transmission of <br> noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for <br> installation to minimize noise <br> and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's current requirements <br> that promote quality installation. |
| Controls are required to <br> be readily accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required to <br> be readily accessible in all <br> cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility of controls and <br> occupants' ability to use them effectively in some cases. |

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

From:

## Sent:

To:
Subject:
Attachments:

William Dean [will.dean@core.life](mailto:will.dean@core.life) Tuesday, November 26, 2019 4:24 PM
RULES, DLI (DLI)
Hearing Request - Chapter 1346
HVI - Hearing Request.pdf

## Hi Amanda,

I'm reaching out to request a formal hearing with regards to the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. Please see the attached letter.

Thank you,
Will Dean
Sales Manager, West Coast
0 +1-250-634-3247
e will.dean@core.life
core
core.life
formerly
(10) dpoint
mochnologies

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I'm reaching out on behalf of CORE Energy Recovery Solutions, a manufacturer of energy recovery equipment. CORE is a member of the Home Ventilating Institute (HVI), an international nonprofit association of the manufacturers of home ventilating products. HVI's core purpose is "To Make Indoor Air Healthier." Through its Certified Ratings Programs, HVI provides a voluntary means for residential ventilation manufacturers to report comparable and creditable product performance information based upon uniformly applied testing standards and procedures performed by independent laboratories. Certified performance ratings include airflow, sound and energy.

CORE would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries within the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Newport Partners LLC www.newportpartnersllc.com has developed the attached Appendix A which provides a direct comparison of the ventilation requirements in ASHRAE 62.2 and in Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ s in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William DeanWilliarn Dean

Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

# Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among non-smokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard 2765. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: establishes minimum | No requirement for fan efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the requirement for fan |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| requirements (Table <br> R403.5.1) |  | efficacy, meaning the energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This deregulation of energy use of ventilation systems is in direct opposition to Minnesota Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state. Energy efficiency is one of the most costeffective means to achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise savings gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow rate required at twice the continuous outdoor air rate to provide extra ventilation capacity as needed (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" requirements; however, there are requirements for local exhaust in addition to outdoor air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVI Standard 920, 72 hours minus $13^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ($10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) cold weather test or be certified by a registered professional engineer (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold weather test | Without the cold weather test... <br> (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN THE BLANK) |
| Distribution: requires delivery of outdoor air to each habitable space (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution requirement. ASHRAE 62.2 dwelling unit ventilation requirements may be met by a single bathroom exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If ASHRAE 62.2 is approved, the requirement for distribution will be removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be approved to provide dwelling unit ventilation. Such a configuration could provide little to no air quality benefit in other areas of the home (such as children's bedrooms). |
| Outdoor air provided directly to habitable spaces shall be tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) | No requirement to temper outdoor air | Introducing outdoor air without tempering it (as approved by 62.2) can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, prompting occupants to disable their ventilation system. Disabling ventilation systems can be expected to result in poor indoor air quality, high moisture, and increased condensation potential that can support mold growth and |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { MN Rules, Chapter } \\
\text { 1322 Ventilation } \\
\text { Requirements }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ASHRAE 62.2-2016 } \\
\text { Corollary }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in } \\
\text { Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 }\end{array} \\
\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { ultimately compromise a home's structural } \\
\text { integrity. Further, builders/designers } \\
\text { frequently satisfy the requirement to temper } \\
\text { outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These } \\
\text { energy-efficient systems save large amounts of } \\
\text { energy, especially in cold climates. In fact, } \\
\text { recent action has been taken in ASHRAE 90.1 } \\
\text { to require H/ERVs for dwelling units in the } \\
\text { prescriptive path for climate zones 6 and 7 } \\
\text { (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar } \\
\text { requirement is expected in the 2021 IECC, } \\
\text { based on the public comment hearing vote for } \\
\text { approval in October 2019 (pending final on- } \\
\text { line voting; see proposal CE133 to the IECC). }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Minnesota, which has led the nation in this\end{array}\right\}\)| regard, would be stepping back from its |
| :--- |
| leadership role in energy-efficient ventilation |
| just as the model codes are beginning to |
| follow Minnesota's lead. |


| MN Rules, Chapter <br> 1322 Ventilation <br> Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in <br> Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Backdraft dampers are <br> required on supply <br> and exhaust <br> ventilation systems <br> (R403.5.10) | No dampers required on <br> individually ducted supply <br> or exhaust ventilation <br> system | Backdraft dampers help reduce air leakage <br> from the building when ventilation systems <br> are not operating - thereby saving energy. <br> Removing this requirement from MN's code is <br> expected to increase energy use and promote <br> over-ventilation. |
| Installation of <br> ventilation system <br> components shall <br> minimize transmission <br> of noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for <br> installation to minimize <br> noise and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's current <br> requirements that promote quality <br> installation. |
| Controls are required <br> to be readily <br> accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required <br> to be readily accessible in <br> all cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility of <br> controls and occupants' ability to use them <br> effectively in some cases. |

From: Bob Eddy [reddy@epsalesinc.com](mailto:reddy@epsalesinc.com)

Sent:
To:
Subject:
Attachments:

Tuesday, November 26, 2019 5:28 PM
Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)
DOLI Chapter 1346
EP Sales.DOLI.Chapter 1346.pdf

Amanda, please see attached. Thank you for your help.
Respectfully,

## Robert Eddy / President

EP Sales, Inc.
Office: 952-854-4400
Direct: 952-698-4032
Mobile: 612-325-4338
Fax: 952-854-4441
www.epsalesinc.com


Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

November 26, 2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155
amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
EP Sales, Inc. requests a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 (part of R-04515). Additionally, we request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

Furthermore, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2 .
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version (2019) incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Sincerely,


EP Sales, Inc.
$787812^{\text {th }}$ Ave. S.
Bloomington, MN 55425
952-854-4400

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:
Attachments:

Jenny Tveiten [jenny@sthilairesupply.com](mailto:jenny@sthilairesupply.com)
Tuesday, November 26, 2019 2:51 PM
Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)
St. Hilaire Supply Co.
20191126145050.pdf

See attachment...

## St. HiCaire Supply Co.

211 Broadway
St. Hilaire, MN 56354
Tole Free 1-800.542-5010
Fax 218-964.5242
imfo@stailairesupply.com


November 26, 2019
Department of Labor and Industry c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155
amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
St. Hilaire Supply Inc. requests a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 (part of R-04515). Additionally, we request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

Furthermore, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their LAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2 .
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version (2019) incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from 89\% of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Loic Ares [AresL@venmar.ca](mailto:AresL@venmar.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 3:32 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Cc: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 |
| Attachments: | Rulemaking docket for minnesota rules chapter 1346.pdf |

We hereby reach out to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.
Please consider our comments to the RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 in the attached letter.

Regards,

Loïc Arès ing. / P. Eng. | Aviseur Technique - QAI / Technical Advisor - IAQ
Venmar Ventilation ULC | 550 boulevard Lemire, Drummondville (Québec), Canada J2C 7W9
819.477.6226, poste/ext. 2681 bureau/office | 819.475 .9541 télécopieur/fax


Venmar Ventilation ULC
550 , Lemire Blvd.

Via email to: amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us

November 26, 2019
Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to underperform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2 .
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit $\mathrm{H} / E R V$ s based on the action taken at the Group $B$ public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawispaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among nonsmokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z 765. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: establishes minimum requirements (Table R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the requirement for fan efficacy, meaning the energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This deregulation of energy use of ventilation systems is in direct opposition to Minnesota |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state. Energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise savings gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow rate required at twice the continuous outdoor air rate to provide extra ventilation capacity as needed (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" requirements; however, there are requirements for local exhaust in addition to outdoor air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVI Standard 920, 72 hours minus $13^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ cold weather test or be certified by a registered professional engineer (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold weather test | Without the cold weather test... (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN THE BLANK) |
| Distribution: requires delivery of outdoor air to each habitable space (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution requirement. ASHRAE 62.2 dwelling unit ventilation requirements may be met by a single bathroom exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If ASHRAE 62.2 is approved, the requirement for distribution will be removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be approved to provide dwelling unit ventilation. Such a configuration could provide little to no air quality benefit in other areas of the home (such as children's bedrooms). |
| Outdoor air provided directly to habitable spaces shall be tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) | No requirement to temper outdoor air | Introducing outdoor air without tempering it (as approved by 62.2) can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, prompting occupants to disable their ventilation system. Disabling ventilation systems can be expected to result in poor indoor air quality, high moisture, and increased condensation potential that can support mold growth and ultimately compromise a home's structural integrity. Further, builders/designers frequently satisfy the requirement to temper outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | energy-efficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in ASHRAE 90.1 to require $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ s for dwelling units in the prescriptive path for climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is expected in the 2021 IECC, based on the public comment hearing vote for approval in October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see proposal CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota, which has led the nation in this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are beginning to follow Minnesota's lead. |
| In-situ airflow verification required if flow greater than 30 cfm and producible to building official upon request (R403.5.6.1.3) | In-situ flow verification only required for outdoor air systems, not local exhaust systems. No requirement for making test results available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |
| Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 2.5 sones R403.5.7) | Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 3 sones. No requirement for exhaust fans with a minimum airflow setting exceeding 400 cfm . No requirement for remotely mounted fans. | Studies by Lawrence Berkeley National Lab have shown that a primary reason that range hoods are not operated is because occupants believe they are "too noisy". MN's current requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 supports operation of range hoods and consequently, better indoor air quality for occupants. |
| Prohibits simultaneously connecting both supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system, with exception (R403.5.9) | No limitations to ducting supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system | ASHRAE 62.2 permits poor installation practices when integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation systems - which can render ventilation systems completely ineffective in providing acceptable IAQ. |
| Backdraft dampers are required on supply and exhaust ventilation systems (R403.5.10) | No dampers required on individually ducted supply or exhaust ventilation system | Backdraft dampers help reduce air leakage from the building when ventilation systems are not operating - thereby saving energy. Removing this requirement from MN's code is expected to increase energy use and promote over-ventilation. |

## 3 |Page

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Installation of ventilation <br> system components shall <br> minimize transmission of <br> noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for installation to <br> minimize noise and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's current requirements that <br> promote quality installation. |
| Controls are required to be <br> readily accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required to be <br> readily accessible in all cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility of controls and occupants' <br> ability to use them effectively in some cases. |
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| From: | Bender Christopher [Christopher.Bender@aldes.com](mailto:Christopher.Bender@aldes.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 2:33 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 |
| Attachments: | MN DOLI - Chapter1346 Comment Aldes Signed.pdf |

Dear Mrs. Spuckler :

Please find attached a letter in support of a request for a hearing regarding the proposed changes to the Minnesota building code as it pertains to ventilation.

Best Regards,
Christopher Bender
Christopher Bender
Aldes North America
Marketing Director
Mobile: 514.883.5690
christopher.bender@aldes.com
www.aldes.ca


# Christopher Bender 

Aldes
100 Carter Street
St.-Leonard-d'Aston, Quebec
Canada JOC 1M0

26-Nov-2019

Department of Labor and Industry<br>c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler<br>443 Lafayette Road<br>N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346
Dear Ms. Spuckler:
The Home Ventilating Institute (HVI), founded in 1955, is an international nonprofit association of the manufacturers of home ventilating products. HVI's core purpose is "To Make Indoor Air Healthier." Through its Certified Ratings Programs, HVI provides a voluntary means for residential ventilation manufacturers to report comparable and creditable product performance information based upon uniformly applied testing standards and procedures performed by independent laboratories. Certified performance ratings include airflow, sound and energy.

Today, HVI represents manufacturers from the United States, Canada, Asia and Europe, producing the majority of the residential ventilation products sold in North America. HVI certification is a prerequisite for obtaining the ENERGYSTAR ${ }^{\oplus}$ rating for mechanical ventilation equipment.

We hereby reach out to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries within the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Newport Partners LLC www.newportpartnersllc.com has developed the attached Appendix A which provides a direct comparison of the ventilation requirements in ASHRAE 62.2 and in Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter $\mathbf{1 3 4 6 . 0 0 5 0}$ claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance ${ }^{11}$. As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1 -2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to $u s e \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90,1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322



Chem Canon Gen n Thompson, Residential Business Development Manger
Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among nonsmokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard $\mathrm{Z7} 65$. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: establishes minimum requirements (Table R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the requirement for fan efficacy, meaning the energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This deregulation of |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | energy use of ventilation systems is in direct opposition to Minnesota <br> Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next <br> Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for <br> the state. Energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to <br> achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise savings <br> gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow rate <br> required at twice the <br> continuous outdoor air rate <br> to provide extra ventilation <br> capacity as needed <br> (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" requirements; <br> however, there are requirements for <br> local exhaust in addition to outdoor <br> air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing <br> the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVI <br> Standard 920, 72 hours <br> minus 135 (-10C) cold <br> weather test or be certified <br> by a registered professional <br> engineer (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold weather test | Without the cold weather test... (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN THE <br> BLANK) |
| Distribution: requires <br> delivery of outdoor air to <br> each habitable space <br> (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution requirement. ASHRAE <br> 62.2 dwelling unit ventilation <br> requirements may be met by a single <br> bathroom exhaust fan located in a <br> remote corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If <br> ASHRAE 62.2 is approved, the requirement for distribution will be <br> removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home <br> (such as the master bedroom) would be approved to provide dwelling <br> unit ventilation. Such a configuration could provide little to no air <br> quality benefit in other areas of the home (such as children's <br> bedrooms). |
| Outdoor air provided directly <br> to habitable spaces shall be <br> tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) | No requirement to temper outdoor <br> air | lntroducing outdoor air without tempering it (as approved by 62.2) <br> can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, prompting <br> occupants to disable their ventilation system. Disabling ventilation <br> systems can be expected to result in poor indoor air quality, high <br> moisture, and increased condensation potential that can support <br> mold growth and ultimately compromise a home's structural <br> integrity. Further, builders/designers frequently satisfy the |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { MN Rules, Chapter 1322 } \\ \text { Ventilation Requirements }\end{array} & \text { ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, } \\ \text { Chapter 1322 }\end{array} \\ \hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { requirement to temper outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These } \\ \text { energy-efficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in } \\ \text { cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in ASHRAE y0.1 to } \\ \text { require H/ERVs for dwelling units in the prescriptive path for climate } \\ \text { zones } 6 \text { and } 7 \text { (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is } \\ \text { expected in the 2021 IECC, based on the public comment hearing } \\ \text { vote for approval in October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see } \\ \text { proposal CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota, which has led the nation in } \\ \text { this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in } \\ \text { energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are beginning to } \\ \text { follow Minnesota's lead. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { In-situ airflow verification } \\ \text { required if flow greater than } \\ \text { 30 cfm and producible to } \\ \text { building official upon request } \\ \text { (R403.5.6.I.3) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { In-situ flow verification only required } \\ \text { for outdoor air systems, not local } \\ \text { exhaust systems. No requirement for } \\ \text { making test results available to } \\ \text { building official. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and } \\ \text { operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of } \\ \text { providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective } \\ \text { alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided } \\ \text { to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Maximum intermittent } \\ \text { ventilation sone level: 2.5 } \\ \text { sones R403.5.7) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Maximum intermittent ventilation } \\ \text { sone level: 3 sones. No requirement } \\ \text { for exhaust fans with a minimum } \\ \text { airflow setting exceeding 400 cfm. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Studies by Lawrence Berkeley National Lab have shown that a } \\ \text { primary reason that range hoods are not operated is because } \\ \text { requirement for remotely mounted } \\ \text { occupants believe they are "too noisy". MN's current requirement for } \\ \text { lower sone rates than 62.2 supports operation of range hoods and } \\ \text { fans. }\end{array} \\ \text { fonsequently, better indoor air quality for occupants. }\end{array}\right\}$

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Installation of ventilation <br> system components shall <br> minimize transmission of <br> noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for installation to <br> minimize noise and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's current requirements that <br> promote quality installation. |
| Controls are required to be <br> readily accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required to be <br> readily accessible in all cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility of controls and occupants' <br> ability to use them effectively in some cases. |

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Joe Lstiburek [joe@buildingscience.com](mailto:joe@buildingscience.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 1:04 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Cc: | mmoore@newportventures.net |
| Subject: | Rule making docket for Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 |
| Attachments: | MN Code Hearing Request.pdf |

Dear Ms. Spuckler,
Please see attached letter.
Respectfully,
Joseph Lstiburek, Ph.D., P.Eng.

November 26, 2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's costeffective fan efficacy requirements for fans.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309. Compliance with Chapter 1322 should be required for all dwelling units in Minnesota.

Yours truly,


Joseph Lstiburek, Ph.D., P.Eng.
Principal, Building Science Corporation

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26,2019 2:20 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE 62.2 |
| Attachments: | SKM_C36819112614240.pdf |

Hi Amanda,
This one is hard to read, but it's from Peter Bonfe
Bonfe's Plumbing, Heating and AC. His email is peter@bonfe.com

Thanks,

Mike Jindra | Account Manager - Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | P (952) 935-0445, Ext: 2219 | F (952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344

dakotasupplygroup.com

From: st.pcopier@dsginc.biz [st.pcopier@dsginc.biz](mailto:st.pcopier@dsginc.biz)
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 2:25 PM
To: mjindra@dsginc.biz
Subject: Message from KM_C368

## DATE

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their $I A Q$ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ s in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Sincerely,


Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November $26,20191: 22$ PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE 62.2 letter from Jason Massmann owner of Massmann Geothermal and |
|  | Mechanical. |
| Attachments: | MN DOLI Massmann Geothermal.pdf |

Hi Amanda,
Here is another one. This one is from Jason Massmann (Massmann Geothermal and Mechanical)
His email address is jason@massmanngeothermal.com

Thanks for your attention on this,

Mike Jindra | Account Manager - Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group \| P (952) 935-0445, Ext: 2219 \| F (952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344
ne burer 6
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{He}^{47} / \mathrm{D}=5$
dakotasupplygroup.com

DATE 11/26/2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,

## Gason Massmann

Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322
Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among nonsmokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: establishes minimum requirements (Table R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the requirement for fan efficacy, meaning the energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This deregulation of |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | energy use of ventilation systems is in direct opposition to Minnesota <br> Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next <br> Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for <br> the state. Energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to <br> achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise savings <br> gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow rate <br> required at twice the <br> continuous outdoor air rate <br> to provide extra ventilation <br> capacity as needed <br> (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" requirements; <br> however, there are requirements for <br> local exhaust in addition to outdoor <br> air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing <br> the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVI <br> Standard 920, 72 hours <br> minus 13F (--10C) cold <br> weather test or be certified <br> by a registered professional <br> engineer (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold weather test | Without the cold. weather test... (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN THE <br> BLANK) |
| Distribution: requires <br> delivery of outdoor air to <br> each habitable space <br> (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution requirement. ASHRAE <br> 62.2 dwelling unit ventilation <br> requirements may be met by a single <br> bathroom exhaust fan located in a <br> remote corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If <br> ASHRAE 62.2 is approved, the requirement for distribution will be <br> removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home <br> (such as the master bedroom) would be approved to provide dwelling <br> unit ventilation. Such a configuration could provide little to no air <br> quality benefit in other areas of the home (such as children's <br> bedrooms). |
| Outdoor air provided directly <br> to habitable spaces shall be <br> tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) | No requirement to temper outdoor <br> air | Introducing outdoor air without tempering it (as approved by 62.2) <br> can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, prompting <br> occupants to disable their ventilation system. Disabling ventilation <br> systems can be expected to result in poor indoor air quality, high <br> moisture, and increased condensation potential that can support <br> mold growth and ultimately compromise a home's structural <br> integrity. Further, builders/designers frequently satisfy the |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | requirement to temper outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These energy-efficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in ASHRAE 90.1 to require $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ s for dwelling units in the prescriptive path for climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is expected in the 2021 IECC, based on the public comment hearing vote for approval in October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see proposal CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota, which has led the nation in this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are beginning to follow Minnesota's lead. |
| In-situ airflow verification required if flow greater than 30 cfm and producible to building official upon request (R403.5.6.I.3) | In-situ flow verification only required for outdoor air systems, not local exhaust systems. No requirement for making test results available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |
| Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 2.5 sones R403.5.7) | Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 3 sones. No requirement for exhaust fans with a minimum airflow setting exceeding 400 cfm . No requirement for remotely mounted fans. | Studies by Lawrence Berkeley National Lab have shown that a primary reason that range hoods are not operated is because occupants believe they are "too noisy". MN's current requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 supports operation of range hoods and consequently, better indoor air quality for occupants. |
| Prohibits simultaneously connecting both supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system, with exception (R403.5.9) | No limitations to ducting supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system | ASHRAE 62.2 permits poor installation practices when integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation systems - which can render ventilation systems completely ineffective in providing acceptable IAQ. |
| Backdraft dampers are required on supply and exhaust ventilation systems (R403.5.10) | No dampers required on individually ducted supply or exhaust ventilation system | Backdraft dampers help reduce air leakage from the building when ventilation systems are not operating - thereby saving energy. Removing this requirement from MN's code is expected to increase energy use and promote over-ventilation. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter $\mathbf{1 3 2 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Installation of ventilation <br> system components shall <br> minimize transmission of <br> noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for installation to <br> minimize noise and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's current requirements that <br> promote quality installation. |
| Controls are required to be <br> readily accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required to be <br> readily accessible in all cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility of controls and occupants' <br> ability to use them effectively in some cases. |

## From:

Sent:
To:
Subject:
Attachments:

Jake Ryan [Jaker@silvertreepandh.com](mailto:Jaker@silvertreepandh.com) Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:43 PM RULES, DLI (DLI)
Energy code changes MN DOLI - Chapter 1346 Comment Template B.pdf

THANKS,
JAKE RYAN
SALES AND ESTIMATING
CHECK OUT OUR WEBSITE!!! WWW.SILVERTREEPH.COM
LIKE US ON FACEBOOK! WWW.FACEBOOK.COM/SILVERTREEPLUMBINGANDHEATINGLLC


1335 MENDOTA HEIGHTS ROAD
MENDOTA HEIGHTS, MN 55120
651.319 .4200 OFFICE
651.900 .7053 DIRECT
612.270.3195 CELL

FAx 952.303.8033

November 26, 2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: PROPOSAL TO ADD ASHRAE 62.2-2016 TO MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 AS A VENTILATION COMPLIANCE OPTION.

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.

I would like the opportunity to request that ASHRAE 62.2 not be added as a ventilation option to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346

The ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code have been working very well. They lead to better houses being built, with improved IAQ and lower energy cost. The proposal is a step backward.

The Statement of Need and Reasonableness asserts that the ventilation requirements of 62.2-2016 are the same as in our Minnesota code. But that's not the case. Minnesota's rules are much better and contractors in Minnesota have learned that following these rules result in better ventilation systems that really improve IAQ and save energy. If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed in our code, people will have to make a special point of asking for ventilations systems that are as good and easy-to-use as we already are installing.

In conclusion, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Jacob Ryan
HVAC Manager
Silver Tree Plumbing \& Heating, LLC
1335 Mendota Heights Rd.
Mendota Heights, MN 55120

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Andrew Johnson [andrew@rhisupply.com](mailto:andrew@rhisupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:54 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 |
| Attachments: | SRHI Fargo19112612490.pdf |

Please see attached

Thanks,

## Andrew Johnson

Baxter, MN
218-828-7016


| Pargo | natis yehu 渐的 | $701 \cdot 2$ 路\％720 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12088.82046 | 701－29A－1545 |
| Mnco | 025 Durelch exyy w | \％01－550．0．08 |
|  |  | 211，cenmed |
| Orand conles | 2 25e Dhanm Ave | Coming Somer |

November 26， 2019
Department of Labor and Industry
c／o Ms．Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N．St．Paul，MN 55155
amanda．spucklerrastate．mm．us

## Re：RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms．Spuckler：
RHI Supply Inc．requests a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 （part of R－04515）．Additionally，we request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 （by reference）．

Furthermore，the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons：

1．The SONAR states，＂Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules，Chapter 1322，it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative．＂This statement is false，as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically，with 62.2 －compliant systems expected to under－perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria（see Appendix A for details）．
2．The SONAR claims that＂Minnesota Rules，chapter 1322，and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates，ventilation controls，and ventilation system installation．＂This statement is false for the following reasons：
a．Air change rates：ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero，requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases，versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b．Air change rates：Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 ＇s rates．
c．Ventilation controls：Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible，but 62.2 does not，meaning that occupants＇ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62．2．
d．Installation：Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates，none of which are required by 62.2 ．
3．The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that＂ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change．＂This statement is false，as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that
is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version (2019) incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $\mathrm{H} /$ ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit $\mathrm{H} / E R V$ s based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opporiunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:53 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE 62.2 |
| Attachments: | SKM_C36819112612151.pdf |

Hi Amanda,
This signed letter is from Jeff at Riccar Heating, if you would like to send him a confirmation that this was received, his email is
jeff@riccarhvac.com

Mike Jindra | Account Manager-Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | P (952) 935-0445 , Ext: 2219 | F (952) 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344

dakotasupplygroup.com
From: st.pcopier@dsginc.biz [st.pcopier@dsginc.biz](mailto:st.pcopier@dsginc.biz)
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:16 PM
To: mjindra@dsginc.biz
Subject: Message from KM_C368

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

## Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation. ${ }^{.}$This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $\mathrm{H} / E R V$ s in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

| From: | Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 1:18 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Cc: | jeff@riccarhvac.com |
| Subject: | Re: ASHRAE 62.2 |

His name is Jeff Arent from Riccar Heating
Thanks Amanda,
Let me know if you have any other issues
Mike Jindra

## Get Outlook for iOS

From: Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) [amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us](mailto:amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us)
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 1:01:03 PM
To: Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com)
Cc: jeff@riccarhvac.com [jeff@riccarhvac.com](mailto:jeff@riccarhvac.com)
Subject: RE: ASHRAE 62.2
Dear Mr. Jindra,
I have received the request for hearing. Can you please send me his first and last name? I am having difficulty figuring out his last name from the signature and need it for the rulemaking docket.

Thank you.

## Amanda Spuckler

Rules Specialist and Outreach | Education, Rules and Code Development
Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry
443 Lafayette Road N., St. Paul, MN 55155
Phone: (651) 284-5361 | Web: www.dli.mn.gov

## - D DEPARTMENT OF <br> bacor and industry
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From: Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com)
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:53 PM
To: Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) [amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us](mailto:amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us)
Subject: ASHRAE 62.2
Hi Amanda,

This signed letter is from Jeff at Riccar Heating, if you would like to send him a confirmation that this was received, his email is
jeff@riccarhvac.com


From: st.pcopier@dsginc.biz [st.pcopier@dsginc.biz](mailto:st.pcopier@dsginc.biz)
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:16 PM
To: miindra@dsginc.biz
Subject: Message from KM_C368

| From: | Mike Jindra [Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Mike.Jindra@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:48 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE 62.2 letter |
| Attachments: | SKM_C36819112612150.pdf |

Hi Amanda,
I faxed a letter in earlier this morning from myself.
Attached is a signed letter from one of my customers who believe in balanced ventilation.
If you want to send out a confirmation that you received it to him, his email is aaron@riccarhvac.com

I will probably be sending you a few more as I receive them.

Thank you for your attention on this,

Mike Jindra | Account Manager - Plumbing/HVAC
Dakota Supply Group | $\mathbf{P}$ (952) 935-0445 , Ext: $2219 \mid F(952)$ 935-7666
845 Berkshire Lane N | Plymouth, MN 55441
M (612) 597-3344


## dakotasupplygroup.com

From: st.pcopier@dsginc.biz [st.pcopier@dsginc.biz](mailto:st.pcopier@dsginc.biz)
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 12:16 PM
To: mjindra@dsginc.biz
Subject: Message from KM_C368

11/26/2019
Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

lam writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter $1322^{\prime}$ s cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating
several substantive changes. several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


Aaron Bosen
Riccar Heating

Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

NOTE: Balanced ventilation has proven to be a much better method for ventilating new, tightly constructed homes in our climate. It has given us much more control of pressure and indoor air quality in our customer's homes and has eliminated some negative side effects of exhaust only ventilation. We feel allowing this method again would be a step backwards in our industry, and as a company, we would not revert back to using that method of satisfying code requirements.

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Mike Moore [mmoore@newportventures.net](mailto:mmoore@newportventures.net) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 11:55 AM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | Request for hearing - Chapter 1346 |

Dear Ms. Spuckler,

I am writing to request a hearing on DLI's proposed changes to Chapter 1346. The proposal to permit ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 and 1346 and the proposal to supplant the current version of 1346 with the 2018 IMC would result in significant rollbacks in Minnesota's dwelling unit ventilation provisions -- which have established the minimum requirements for effective and efficient ventilation. Cold weather testing requirements for $H / E R V s$, balanced ventilation requirements, tempering of air to ensure occupant acceptability, distribution, and energy efficacy provisions would all be lost if Minnesota were to move forward with its proposed revisions to 1346 . Further consideration needs to be given to the proposed language to maintain minimum and climate-appropriate mechanical ventilation requirements in Minnesota. I would be happy to assist in developing the language to ensure that Minnesota's current requirements are upheld as the state transitions to the 2018 I-codes.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mike

Mike Moore, Ps.E.
303.408.7015

| From: | Dave Bohac [dbohac@mncee.org](mailto:dbohac@mncee.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 11:07 AM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Cc: | Rebecca Olson |
| Subject: | Proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 |
| Attachments: | letterhead DLI dave bohac.pdf |

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. Please see my attached letter regarding the proposed changes. Thank you.

Dave Bohac $x=x$
Director of Research | 612-802-1697
Center for Energy and Environment
212 Third Avenue North, Suite 560 | Minneapolis, MN 55401
(fax) 612-335-5866 | www.mncee.org

This e-mail transmission and any attachments accompanying it may contain confidential and/or proprietary information and is intended only for the person or entity to whom it was originally addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or other use of this information is strictly prohibited. Any unauthorized interception of this transmission is illegal. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail, and then destroy all copies of this transmission

November $26^{\text {th }}, 2019$
Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
As the Director of Research at the Center for Energy and Environment (CEE), I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries within, the request to remove ASHRAE 62.22016 as an alternative compliance path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

The rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of ASHRAE 62.2 2016 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria.
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.22016 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.22016 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in ASHRAE 62.22016 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but ASHRAE 62.22016 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their Indoor Air Quality will be limited under ASHRAE 62.22016.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by ASHRAE 62.22016.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of ASHRAE 62.22016 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would have serious effects on Indoor Air Quality of new residential buildings in MN. Since utilizing this alternative would allow exhaust only ventilation in very tight homes, most ventilation systems will not be able to adequately perform. There simply isn't enough make-up air available in tight homes, so they will become highly depressurized. This could contribute to increased pollutants pulled in from outdoors without filtration including excess summer humidity, radon, and allergens.

This is compounded further in low rise multifamily buildings where our research has shown that only 30\% of new construction unit air leakage is attributable to the outside. The other $70 \%$ comes from adjacent units and common areas. This will exacerbate smell and pollutant transfer from unit to unit.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.22016 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

I would like to thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments and request a hearing.
Sincerely,


Dave Bohac, Director of Research
18925 Rutledge Road
Deephaven, MN 55391

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Rebecca Olson [rolson@mncee.org](mailto:rolson@mncee.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 10:59 AM |
| To: | RULES, DUI (DUI) |
| Cc: | Josh Quinnell; Ben Schoenbauer; Lester Chen; Russ Landry; Isaac Smith; Phil Anderson; |
|  | Tony Beres |
| Subject: | Rulemaking Docket for Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 |
| Attachments: | Letter to DLI from CEE re Chapter 1346.pdf |

Amanda Spuckler,
Please accept the attached letter on behalf of 8 CEE staff requesting a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. Rationale and comments as part of the request are included in the letter. Please confirm that you received this and that this counts as 8 of the 25 requests needed for a public hearing.
Thank you,
Rebecca Olson

Rebecca Olson


Director of Residential Programs | 651.789.5705
Center for Energy and Environment
1754 University Ave West | St. Paul, MN 55104
www.mncee.org

This e-mail transmission and any attachments accompanying it may contain confidential and/or proprietary information and is intended only for the person or entity to whom it was originally addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or other use of this information is strictly prohibited. Any unauthorized interception of this transmission is illegal. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail, and then destroy all copies of this transmission

Center for Energy and Environment

November 26 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2019$
Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
As research and new homes staff from the Center for Energy and Environment (CEE), we are writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries within, the request to remove ASHRAE 62.22016 as an alternative compliance path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

The rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of ASHRAE 62.22016 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.22016 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.22016 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in ASHRAE 62.22016 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but ASHRAE 62.22016 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their Indoor Air Quality will be limited under ASHRAE 62.22016.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by ASHRAE 62.22016.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance ${ }^{\text {" }}$. As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.


Center for Energy and Environment

Approval of ASHRAE 62.22016 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would have serious effects on Indoor Air Quality of new residential buildings in MN. Since utilizing this alternative would allow exhaust only ventilation in very tight homes, most ventilation systems will not be able to adequately perform. There simply isn't enough make-up air available in tight homes, so they will become highly depressurized. This could contribute to increased pollutants pulled in from outdoors without filtration including excess summer humidity, radon, and allergens.

This is compounded further in low rise multifamily buildings where our research has shown that only $30 \%$ of new construction unit air leakage is attributable to the outside. The other $70 \%$.comes from adjacent units and common areas. This will exacerbate smell and pollutant transfer from unit to unit.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.22016 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

On behalf of the Center for Energy and Environment (CEE), we would like to thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments and request a hearing.


Lester Shin, Director of Innovative Technologies -4732 Elliot Ave., Minneapolis, MN 55407


Russ Landry, Senior Mechanical Engineer-4372 123 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Circle NE, Blaine, MN 55449


Ben Schoenbauer, Senior Research Engineer-3520 Aldrich Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55408


Josh Quinnell, Senior Research Engineer-3851 Shelling Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55406


Isaac Smith, Residential Program Development Manager-2521 Pillsbury Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55404


Center for Energy and Environment

## Bhit Anderom

Phil Anderson, QA and New Homes Manager-1499 Grantham St., St. Paul, MN 55104


Tony Beres, Inspector/Rater-1726 Jefferson St. NE, Minneapolis, MN 55413

Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter
Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting ASHRAE 62.22016 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of ASHRAE 62.22016 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among non-smokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of ASHRAE 62.22016 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Approval of ASHRAE 62.22016 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. The ASHRAE 62.2 committee has recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but not the 2016 version. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard $\mathrm{Z7} 75$. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of ASHRAE 62.2 2016 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of ASHRRAE 62.22016 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: establishes minimum requirements (Table R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the requirement for fan efficacy, meaning the energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This deregulation of |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting ASHRAE 62.22016 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | energy use of ventilation systems is in direct opposition to Minnesota Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state. Energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise savings gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow rate required at twice the continuous outdoor air rate to provide extra ventilation capacity as needed (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" requirements; however, there are requirements for local exhaust in addition to outdoor air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVI Standard 920, 72 hours minus $13^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ cold weather test or be certified by a registered professional engineer (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold weather test | Products without this cold weather test cannot function properly in MN's climate. |
| Distribution: requires delivery of outdoor air to each habitable space (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution requirement. ASHRAE 62.22016 dwelling unit ventilation requirements may be met by a single bathroom exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If ASHRAE 62.22016 is approved, the requirement for distribution will be removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be approved to provide dwelling unit ventilation. Such a configuration could provide little to no air quality benefit in other areas of the home (such as children's bedrooms). |
| Outdoor air provided directly to habitable spaces shall be tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) | No requirement to temper outdoor air | Introducing outdoor air without tempering it can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, prompting occupants to disable their ventilation system. Disabling ventilation systems can be expected to result in poor indoor air quality, high moisture, and increased condensation potential that can support mold growth and ultimately compromise a home's structural integrity. Further, builders/designers frequently satisfy the requirement to temper |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting ASHRAE 62.2016 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These energy-efficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in ASHRAE 90.1 to require $\mathrm{H} /$ ERVs for dwelling units in the prescriptive path for climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is expected in the 2021 IECC, based on the public comment hearing vote for approval in October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see proposal CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota; which has led the nation in this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are beginning to follow Minnesota's lead. |
| In-situ airflow verification required if flow greater than 30 cfm and producible to building official upon request (R403.5.6.1.3) | In-situ flow verification only required for outdoor air systems, not local exhaust systems. No requirement for making test results available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |
| Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 2.5 sones R403.5.7) | Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 3 sones. No requirement for exhaust fans with a minimum airflow setting exceeding 400 cfm . No requirement for remotely mounted fans. | Studies by Lawrence Berkeley National Lab have shown that a primary reason that range hoods are not operated is because occupants believe they are "too noisy". MN's current requirement for lower sone rates than ASHRAE 62.22016 supports operation of range hoods and consequently, better indoor air quality for occupants. |
| Prohibits simultaneously connecting both supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system, with exception (R403.5.9) | No limitations to ducting supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system | ASHRAE 62.22016 permits poor installation practices when integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation systems which can render ventilation systems completely ineffective in providing acceptable IAQ. |
| Backdraft dampers are required on supply and exhaust ventilation systems (R403.5.10) | No dampers required on individually ducted supply or exhaust ventilation system | Backdraft dampers help reduce air leakage from the building when ventilation systems are not operating - thereby saving energy. Removing this requirement from MN's code is expected to increase energy use and promote over-ventilation. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting ASHRAE 62.2 2016 in Place of MN <br> Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Installation of ventilation <br> system components shall <br> minimize transmission of <br> noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for installation to <br> minimize noise and vibration | Approval of ASHRAE 62.2 2016 would remove MN's current <br> requirements that promote quality installation. |
| Controls are required to be <br> readily accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required to be <br> readily accessible in all cases. | Approval of ASHRAE 62.2 2016 would limit accessibility of controls <br> and occupants' ability to use them effectively in some cases. |

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Jake Selstad [jselstad@mncee.org](mailto:jselstad@mncee.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November $26,201910: 56$ AM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 |
| Attachments: | 2019-11-26_104717.pdf |

Hello,

Please see the attached response to the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.

Thank you, Jake Selstad
--

Jake Selstad

Inspector/Rater | 651.789.5716
Center for Energy and Environment
1754 University Ave West | St. Paul, MN 55104
www.mncee.org

This e-mail transmission and any attachments accompanying it may contain confidential and/or proprietary information and is intended only for the person or entity to whom it was originally addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or other use of this information is strictly prohibited. Any unauthorized interception of this transmission is illegal. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail, and then destroy all copies of this transmission

Center for Energy and Environment

November $26^{\text {th }}, 2019$
Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
As new homes staff from the Center for Energy and Environment (CEE), I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries within, the request to remove ASHRAE 62.22016 as an alternative compliance path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

The rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of ASHRAE 62.22016 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria.
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.22016 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.22016 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in ASHRAE 62.22016 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but ASHRAE 62.22016 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their Indoor Air Quality will be limited under ASHRAE 62.22016.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by ASHRAE 62.22016.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of ASHRAE 62.22016 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would have serious effects on Indoor Air Quality of new residential buildings in MN. Since utilizing this alternative would allow exhaust only ventilation in very tight homes, most ventilation systems will not be able to adequately perform. There simply isn't enough make-up air available in tight homes, so they will become highly depressurized. This could contribute to increased pollutants pulled in from outdoors without filtration including excess summer humidity, radon, and allergens.

This is compounded further in low rise multifamily buildings where our research has shown that only $30 \%$ of new construction unit air leakage is attributable to the outside. The other $70 \%$ comes from adjacent units and common areas. This will exacerbate smell and pollutant transfer from unit to unit.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.22016 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

I would like to thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments and request a hearing.
Sincerely,

Jake Selstad, Inspector/Rater-4324 Grimes Ave. N., Robbinsdale, MN 55422


| From: | Ben Rabe [rabe@fresh-energy.org](mailto:rabe@fresh-energy.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November $26,201911: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | Fresh Energy - Building Mechanical Code Comments |
| Attachments: | MN DOLI - Chapter1346 Fresh Energy Comments.docx |

Ms. Amanda Spuckler,

Please find Fresh Energy comments on the Building Mechanical Code attached. Let me know if you have any questions.

Cheers,
Ben Rabe

Ben Rabe, CEM
Director, Built Environment
Fresh Energy
Phone 6517267574 | he/him/his
www.fresh-energy.org | @BenRabeMN

November 26, 2019
Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

## Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter $1322^{\prime}$ s rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $\mathrm{H} /$ ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Sincerely,
Ben Rabe
Ben Rabe, CEM
Director, Built Environment
Fresh Energy
rabe@fresh-energy.org
6517267574
Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322
Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among nonsmokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard $\mathrm{Z765}$. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard $\mathrm{Z765}$. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: establishes minimum requirements (Table R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the requirement for fan efficacy, meaning the energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This deregulation of |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | energy use of ventilation systems is in direct opposition to Minnesota Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state. Energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise savings gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow rate required at twice the continuous outdoor air rate to provide extra ventilation capacity as needed (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" requirements; however, there are requirements for local exhaust in addition to outdoor air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVI Standard 920, 72 hours minus $13^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ cold weather test or be certified by a registered professional engineer (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold weather test | Without the cold weather test... (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN THE BLANK) |
| Distribution: requires delivery of outdoor air to each habitable space (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution requirement. ASHRAE 62.2 dwelling unit ventilation requirements may be met by a single bathroom exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If ASHRAE 62.2 is approved, the requirement for distribution will be removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be approved to provide dwelling unit ventilation. Such a configuration could provide little to no air quality benefit in other areas of the home (such as children's bedrooms). |
| Outdoor air provided directly to habitable spaces shall be tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) | No requirement to temper outdoor air | Introducing outdoor air without tempering it (as approved by 62.2) can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, prompting occupants to disable their ventilation system. Disabling ventilation systems can be expected to result in poor indoor air quality, high moisture, and increased condensation potential that can support mold growth and ultimately compromise a home's structural integrity. Further, builders/designers frequently satisfy the |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | requirement to temper outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These energy-efficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in ASHRAE 90.1 to require $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ s for dwelling units in the prescriptive path for climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is expected in the 2021 IECC, based on the public comment hearing vote for approval in October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see proposal CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota, which has led the nation in this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in. energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are beginning to follow Minnesota's lead. |
| In-situ airflow verification required if flow greater than 30 cfm and producible to building official upon request (R403.5.6.I.3) | In-situ flow verification only required for outdoor air systems, not local exhaust systems. No requirement for making test results available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |
| Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 2.5 sones R403.5.7) | Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 3 sones. No requirement for exhaust fans with a minimum airflow setting exceeding 400 cfm . No requirement for remotely mounted fans. | Studies by Lawrence Berkeley National Lab have shown that a primary reason that range hoods are not operated is because occupants believe they are "too noisy". MN's current requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 supports operation of range hoods and consequently, better indoor air quality for occupants. |
| Prohibits simultaneously connecting both supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system, with exception (R403.5.9) | No limitations to ducting supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system | ASHRAE 62.2 permits poor installation practices when integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation systems - which can render ventilation systems completely ineffective in providing acceptable IAQ. |
| Backdraft dampers are required on supply and exhaust ventilation systems (R403.5.10) | No dampers required on individually ducted supply or exhaust ventilation system | Backdraft dampers help reduce air leakage from the building when ventilation systems are not operating - thereby saving energy. Removing this requirement from MN's code is expected to increase energy use and promote over-ventilation. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Installation of ventilation <br> system components shall <br> minimize transmission of <br> noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for installation to <br> minimize noise and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's current requirements that <br> promote quality installation. |
| Controls are required to be <br> readily accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required to be <br> readily accessible in all cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility of controls and occupants' <br> ability to use them effectively in some cases. |

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Topitzhofer, Mike [Mike.Topitzhofer@irco.com](mailto:Mike.Topitzhofer@irco.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26, 2019 10:01 AM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Cc: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | Proposal to add ASHRAE 62.2 to the MN residential energy code |
| Attachments: | MN Energy Code proposal.pdf |

## To Amanda Spuckler:

Good morning, I hope this message finds you well. Please see the attached letter containing my support against adopting the ASHRAE standard 62.2 into the MN residential energy code.

I'm a strong advocate for building new homes in MN that deliver low energy bills, healthy indoor air for occupants, and long-term durability. I believe that the current MN code requirement of balanced ventilation is the best approach MN homebuilders can take to achieve those goals, and ASHRAE 62.2 would represent a backward step. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Mike Topitzhofer
Ingersoll Rand
Business Development Manager, North Central
Mobile: 763.639.8030
Mike.topitzhofer@irco.com


Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

## Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322 's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


Michael Topitzhofer
Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322
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Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Tim McDonald [Tim.McDonald@dsgsupply.com](mailto:Tim.McDonald@dsgsupply.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November 26,2019 9:38 AM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Cc: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | MN Proposal to allow 62.2 |
| Attachments: | MN Proposal to allow 62.2.docx |

## Amanda Spuckler - Please see attached letter

**Please note my new email is Tim.McDonald@dsgsupply.com**
Tim McDonald | Account Manager-Indoor Air Quality
Dakota Supply Group | P (651) 224-5781, Ext: 2215 | F (651) 224-5902
475 Minnehaha Ave W | St. Paul, MN 55103
M (612) 597-3399 | D (651) 558-5775

dakotasupplygroup.com

DATE: November 26, 2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I have worked in the Ventilation Industry for 30 years. I have been part of the education process on how to build an energy efficient home with continuous air/vapor barriers. I was a qualified educator for the state of Minnesota and taught the builders their 8 hours of continued education so they could receive their credits for their builder's license.
In the course of building a very tight home we discover the problems that occur from building tight and those problems are Moisture issues and Indoor Air Quality issues. We figured out that we needed mechanical ventilation for the home. That could be achieved multiple ways, but exhaust only ventilation is not one of them. When we allowed exhaust only ventilation, we had call backs, that included the following:

1. Higher Energy Cost
2. Negative Pressure in the Home
3. Supply Air could be Radon and Soil Gases
4. Not enough CFM to Solve Window Condensation
5. Continuous running bath fans in the ceiling were never cleaned
6. Comfort Complaints
7. Fresh air is not fresh air and is not distributed to all habitable rooms
8. No filtration of fresh air
9. Can not dictate where fresh air will come in

So, I am writing to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries with in the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tim McDonald

Dakota Supply Group
475 W Minnehaha Ave
St Paul, MN 55103
612-597-3399

To Whom It May Concern:
We request a hearing on the matter of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative to our current Minnesota Code.
I have been involved with home builders, remodelors, architects, as well as plumbing, heating and electrical contractors for over 35 years. I am convinced that balanced ventilation is the only acceptable form of ventilation in Minnesota.

In my opinion, adopting 62.2 would be moving backwards. House tightness is NOT moving backwards, Ventilation is becoming even more critical now. We cannot afford the potential moisture, indoor air quality, and excess energy usage that exhaust only ventilation would again create. Having a continuous r inning fan ln a tight home will almost certainly increase moisture and soil gas (radon) intrusion. ASHRAE 62.2 will also have a potential impact on decreased homeowner comfort.


Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | rickc@sauffererassociates.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, November $26,20198: 10$ AM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | ASHRAE Proposal 62.2 on Ventilation Compliance |
| Attachments: | $1126190750 \sim 2(1)(4) . j p g$ |

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Please see the attached letter for ventilation ASHRAE 62.2 not be added as an option.
Please contact Saufferer \& Associates should you have any questions.
Thank You!
Rick Clemens
Saufferer \& Associates
612-720-1074

## SAUFFERER \& ASSOCIAILS

## 24120 Rice Lake Drive

## Lakeville, Minnesota 55044

To: Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Am anda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

From: Richard Clemens
9748 Oak Shore Drive
Lakeville, Minnesota 55044

11/26/19
Re: PROPOSAL TO ADD ASHRAE 62.2-2016 TO MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 AS A VENTILATION COMPLIANCE OPTION.

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.
I would like the opportunity to request that ASHRAE 62.2 not be added as a ventilation option to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346

The ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code have been working very well. They lead to better houses being built, with improved IAQ and lower energy cost. The proposal is a step backward.

The Statement of Need and Reasonableness asserts that the ventilation requirements of 62.2-2016 are the same as in our Minnesota code. But that's not the case. Minnesota's rules are much better and contractors in Minnesota have learned that following these rules result in better ventilation systems that really improve IAQ and save energy. If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed in our code, people will have to make a special point of asking for ventilations systems that are as good and easy-to-use as we already are installing.

In conclusion, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Clemens
$>$ cehaud leme

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Doug Kirchner [dkirchner@renewaire.com](mailto:dkirchner@renewaire.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, November 25,2019 8:58 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | MN DOLI - Chapter1346 Comment Template A |
| Attachments: | MN DOLI - Chapter1346 Comment Template A.docx |

Ms. Spuckler,

I think there would be nothing but value for all parties involved if additional dialogue was engaged in so the intent of any changes is understood by all.

Best Regards,

Doug Kirchner

11/25/2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

## Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 (part of R-04515).

I additionally request that the proposals to allow ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 be removed from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code. These provisions have been successfully implemented by the market place and have provided significant indoor air quality and energy-savings benefits to Minnesota purchasers of new homes.

The proposal to all ASHRAE 62.2 will increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans.

The justification in the SONAR for adoption of 62.2 is misleading and erroneous:

1. The SONAR asserts that "ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322" as an argument to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternate compliance path. In fact, the performance requirements of 62.2 and Chapter 1322 are very different, and 62.2-compliant systems under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria.
2. The SONAR claims incorrectly that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." The two are different in at least four areas:
a. Installation requirements: ASHRAE 62.2 does not require installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, but Chapter 1322 does.
b. Control of Ventilation: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, so that occupants' have full control over their Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) systems, but ASHRAE 62.2 does not.
c. Air change rates:
i. ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, and in some cases requires no mechanical ventilation. Chapter 1346 as it stands requires a minimum of 40 cfm .
ii. Chapter 1322 requires roughly double the ventilation air change rates for unfinished basements than does ASHRAE 62.2.
These are not just technical or editorial differences. They represent a significant step backwards for the indoor air quality and health of Minnesota home-buyers. See the attachment for the many other differences.

The proposed text in for Chapter 1346.0050 adds the statement that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change". In fact, ASHRAE 62.2 constitutes a rapidly changing target. In ASHRAE parlance this is a "continuous
maintenance" standard, changes rapidly, and already has been replaced by an updated standard with many significant changes. ASHRAE 62.2-2016 no longer represents the best thinking on the subject of residential ventilation.

Minnesota displayed leadership in residential IAQ and ventilation efficiency when it adopted the current relevant provisions in Chapters 1322 and 1349. Now, model codes and standards around the nation are catching up to Minnesota's leadership, validating the effectiveness and good sense of the current provisions.

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path, ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota will increase and residential IAQ will decline.

Therefore, I request ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for your consideration and acceptance of my comment.

Sincerely,

Doug Kirchner
Regional Sales Director
Cell: 608-807-8069, Off.: 608-221-4499 x2220
Ven RenewAire"

Attachment: Differences between MN Rules and ASHRAE 62.2-2016, and analysis of impacts of proposed rule changes
Attachment: Differences between MN Rules and ASHRAE 62.2-2016, and analysis of impacts of proposed rule changes

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation <br> Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Provisions | What happens if $\mathbf{6 2 . 2}$ is allowed as an alternative compliance path <br> in Minnesota? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R403.5: Balanced outdoor air <br> ventilation is required. | Allows for exhaust, supply, or <br> balanced outdoor air ventilation. | A single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air <br> requirements, depressurizing the dwelling unit. Depressurization can <br> compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing <br> contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, <br> crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where <br> present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung <br> cancer among non-smokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| R403.5.3: Sets a minimum <br> continuous ventilation rate at 40 <br> cfm. | No minimum ventilation rate | In some dwelling units, no mechanical ventilation at all would be <br> required. |
| R403.5.6.1.2: Temper outdoor air <br> provided directly to habitable spaces. | No requirement to temper outdoor <br> air | Introducing un-tempered outdoor air can be very uncomfortable <br> conditions indoors, so occupants simply disable their ventilation <br> system. The result is worse indoor air quality. In winter, expect too <br> much moisture and potential for condensation and mold growth <br> which is bad for Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) and ultimately compromise a <br> home's structural integrity. <br> It is easy to satisfy the requirement to temper outdoor air by <br> specifying a Heat or Energy Recovery Ventilator (H/ERV), and this <br> saves large amounts of energy in the cold Minnesota climate. <br> ASHRAE 90.1 and the 2021 IECC are likely to require H/ERVs for <br> dwelling units in climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5 .6 .1$).$ |
| Minnesota has led the nation in provisions for energy-efficient <br> ventilation, and would vacate this leadership role just as the model <br> codes are beginning to follow its lead. |  |  |
| R403.5 Ventilation of conditioned, <br> unfinished basements: outdoor air, <br> or a minimum of one supply and one <br> return duct, must be provided. | No requirement for unfinished <br> spaces. | For homes built on unfinished basements the ventilation rate would <br> be reduced by up to 50\% for homes on unfinished basements. <br> Future versions 62.2 have addressed this problem, but not the 2016 <br> version. |
| R403.5: Ventilation of conditioned <br> crawl spaces: outdoor air, or a | No requirement for crawlspaces. | Conditioned crawl spaces would not be ventilated. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Provisions | What happens if 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path in Minnesota? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| minimum of one supply and one return duct, must be provided. |  |  |
| R403.5: Preventing distribution shortcircuiting: supply and return ducts are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement. | No requirement for distribution in basements | Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, and the ventilation system does not work. |
| Table R403.5.1: establishes minimum Fan efficacy requirements. | None | Energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly requirement for fan efficacy. <br> The proposed deregulation of energy use of ventilation systems is contrary to Minnesota Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state, since energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to achieve GHG savings. |
| R403.5.2: Extra ventilation capacity: the "total" ventilation flow rate must be twice the continuous outdoor air rate, so extra ventilation capacity is available. | No "total ventilation" requirements; however, there are requirements for local exhaust in addition to outdoor air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| 403.5.6.1: Outdoor air must be distributed to each habitable space. | No distribution requirements; a single bathroom exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home meets the standards. | Ventilation "systems" consisting of a single exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be accepted, but could provide little to no air quality benefit in other areas of the home (e.g. children's bedrooms). |
| R403.5.9: In most cases prohibits simultaneous connection of both supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system. | No limitations. | Poor installation methods for integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation systems will be allowed: these ventilation systems don't provide acceptable IAQ. |
| R403.5.10: Backdraft dampers are required on supply and exhaust ventilation systems. | No requirements | Energy use will increase because backdraft dampers reduce air leakage from the building when ventilation systems are not operating. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation <br> Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Provisions | What happens if 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path <br> in Minnesota? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R403.5.14.4: Readily-accessible <br> Ventilation System Controls. | Not required in all cases to be readily <br> accessible. | If occupants can't easily control their ventilation systems, they are <br> more likely simply to shut them off. |
| R403.5.6.I.3: In-situ airflow <br> verification required for all airflows <br> greater than 30 cfm (including <br> exhaust-only and H/ERVs) and <br> available to building official upon <br> request. | In-situ flow verification not required <br> for local exhaust systems. No <br> requirement for making test results <br> available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and <br> operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of <br> providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective <br> alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided <br> to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |
| R403.5.7: Maximum intermittent <br> ventilation noise level: 2.5 sones. | Maximum intermittent ventilation <br> sone level: 3 sones. No requirement <br> for exhaust fans with a minimum <br> airflow setting exceeding 400 cfm. | The main reason that range hoods are not operated is because <br> occupants believe they are "too noisy" (study by LBNL). MN's current <br> requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 supports operation of <br> quiet range hoods and consequently, better indoor air quality for <br> occupants. |


| From: | Randy Green [Randy.Green@galarson.com](mailto:Randy.Green@galarson.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, November 25, 2019 4:35 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | Minnesota Consideration of Adopting ASHRAE 62.2 |
| Attachments: | Energy Code.pdf |

Ms Spuckler, please see the attached letter expressing my feelings regarding the possible adoption of ASHRAE 62.2.

Best Regards,

Randy Green | Residential Sales Manager
Llairson
EXTRMORDNARY SEVICE WHOUATWE SOLUTION:

13200 10th Ave North | Plymouth, MN 55441
D 612.656.4340 | M 612.961.5523 | F 763.546 .3934

# LlARSON 

EXTRAORDINARY SERVICE. INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS.

To: Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

From: Randy Green

November 25, 2019

Re: PROPOSAL TO ADD ASHRAE 62.2-2016 TO MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 AS A VENTILATION COMPLIANCE OPTION.

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.

I would like the opportunity to request that ASHRAE 62.2 not be added as a ventilation option to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346

The ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code have been working very well. They lead to better houses being built, with improved IAQ and lower energy cost. The proposal is a step backward.

The Statement of Need and Reasonableness asserts that the ventilation requirements of 62.2-2016 are the same as in our Minnesota code. But that's not the case. Minnesota's rules are much better and contractors in Minnesota have learned that following these rules result in better ventilation systems that really improve IAQ and save energy. If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed in our code, people will have to make a special point of asking for ventilations systems that are as good and easy-to-use as we already are installing.

In conclusion, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


| From: | Todd Ferrara [Todd.Ferrara@standardheating.com](mailto:Todd.Ferrara@standardheating.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, November 25, 2019 4:55 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Cc: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | On the matter of ASHREA 62.2 as an "alterative", we request a hearing. |
| Attachments: | $3687 \ldots 001 . p d f$ |

Amanda Spuckler
Department of Labor and Industry, -Rule Making Hearing Request
443 Lafayette Road N., St. Paul, MN 55155

Regarding:
Permitting ASHRAE 62.2 to be used as an alternative compliance path for the ventilation requirements of dwelling units, both under Minnesota's residential code (Chapter 1309) and mechanical code (Chapter 1346).

On the matter of ASHREA 62.2 as an "alterative", we request a hearing.
All new houses should be required to have balanced ventilation and tempered outdoor air in
Minnesota. The affects of improper ventilation can be extreme and damaging to construction, create energy wasting opportunities and have a negative impact on comfort.
Respectfully,

Todd Ferrara
Vice President

## Todd Ferrara | Vice President

Standard Heating \& Air Conditioning
130 Plymouth Avenue North
Desk \& Mobile: 612-436-2351 | standardheating.com

## STANDAFD <br> WHEATINGO <br> \& air combitioning

Facebook: www.facebook.com/StandardHeating Twitter: twitter.com/StandardHeating

November 25, 2019

Amanda Speckler
Department of Labor and Industry, -Rule Making Hearing Request.
443 Lafayette Road N., St. Paul, MN 55155

Regarding:

Permitting ASHRAE 62.2 to be used as an alternative compliance path for the ventilation requirements of dwelling units, both under Minnesota's residential code (Chapter 1309) and mechanical code (Chapter 1346).

On the matter of ASHREA 62.2 as an "alterative", we request a hearing.
All new houses should be required to have balanced ventilation and tempered outdoor air in Minnesota. The affects of improper ventilation can be extreme and damaging to construction, create energy wasting opportunities and have a negative impact on comfort.


Providing the Comfort You Deserve... Since 1930.

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Rick Welter [RickW@welterheating.com](mailto:RickW@welterheating.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, November 25, 2019 2:36 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | Proposal to ASHRAE 62.2-2016 |
| Attachments: | DoLI Amanda Spuckler letter.pdf |

Ms Spuckler,

Please find attached, my letter in regards to not changing the ventilation compliance option. The proposal would be a step backwards.

Thank you-

Rick Welter
612-825-6867

# Afondition your shelter with Roy No WELTER Heameco. <br> 4637 CHICAGO AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55407 612-825-6867 

To: Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

From: Rick Welter
11/25/2019

## Re: PROPOSAL TO ADD ASHRAE 62.2-2016 TO MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 AS A VENTILATION COMPLIANCE OPTION.

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.
I would like the opportunity to request that ASHRAE 62.2 not be added as a ventilation option to Minnesota Rules Chapter. 1322 from both Chapter 1346

The ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code have been working very well. They lead to better houses being built, with improved IAQ and lower energy cost. The proposal is a step backward.

The Statement of Need and Reasonableness asserts that the ventilation requirements of $62.2-2016$ are the same as in our Minnesota code. But that's not the case. Minnesota's rules are much better and contractors in Minnesota have learned that following these rules result in better ventilation systems that really improve IAQ and save energy. If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed in our code, people will have to make a special point of asking for ventilations systems that are as good and easy-to-use as we already are installing.

In conclusion, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Sincerely,


Rick Welter, President- Welter Heating Co.

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Chuck Gates [cgates@renewaire.com](mailto:cgates@renewaire.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, November 25, 2019 1:04 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | Hearing Request on Proposed Changes to MN Rules Chapter 1346 |
| Attachments: | Request for Hearing re Chapter 1346 changes - Gates Werner \& Agopian.pdf |

Attn: Amanda Spuckler
Department of Labor and Industry
443 Lafayette Road N.
St. Paul, MN 55155
phone (651) 284-5006
fax: (651) 284-5749

Dear Amanda,

Please find attached a letter, signed by 3 of our executives, to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.

Thank you for this opportunity, please contact me with any questions you may have.

Best Regards,
Chuck Gates
Chief Executive Officer
Office: (800) 627-4499 x2250
Direct: (608) 850-2250
Cell: (608) 358-0371
www.renewaire.com

RenewAire LLC
201 Raemisch Road
Waunakee WI 53597

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

We request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 (part of R-04515).
I additionally request that the proposals to allow ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 be removed from both Chapter. 1346 and (by reference) Chapter 1309.

Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code. These provisions have been successfully implemented by the market place and have provided significant indoor air quality and energy-savings benefits to Minnesota purchasers of new homes.

Matthew Friedlander, our VP of Research and Development, has communicated to you some of the technical problems with the rules changes as proposed, so we won't reiterate them here.

He tells us that Mike Moore has provided you with specific recommendations for constructive amendments to the proposed changes and that these are positive for our industry, and more importantly for health, energy efficiency and protection of dwellings. We hope his recommendations meet with your approval and that you will implement them.

Thank you for your consideration and acceptance of our request.
Sincerely,


# SAUFFERER associates 

1DATE: November 23, 2019


Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 (part of R-04515).

1 additionally request that the proposals to allow ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 be removed from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1.309 (by reference).

Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code. These provisions have been successfully implemented by the market place and have provided significant indoor air quality and energy-savings benefits to Minnesota purchasers of new homes.

The proposal to all ASHRAE 62.2 will increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans.

The justification in the SONAR for adoption of 62.2 is misleading and erroneous:

1. The SONAR asserts that "ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter $1322^{\prime \prime}$ as an argument to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternate compliance path. In fact, the performance requirements of 62.2 and Chapter 1322 are very different, and 62.2-compliant systems under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria.
2. The SONAR claims incorrectly that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." The two are different in at least four areas:
a. Installation requirements: ASHRAE 62.2 does not require installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, but Chapter 1322 does.
b. Control of Ventilation: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, so that occupants' have full control over their Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) systems, but ASHRAE 62.2 does not.
c. Air change rates:
i. ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, and in some cases requires no mechanical ventilation. Chapter 1346 as it stands requires a minimum of 40 cfm .
ii. Chapter 1322 requires roughly double the ventilation air change rates for unfinished basements than does ASHRAE 62.2.
These are not just technical or editorial differences. They represent a significant step backwards for the indoor air quality and health of Minnesota home-buyers. See the attachment for the many other differences.

The proposed text in for Chapter 1346.0050 adds the statement that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change". In fact, ASHRAE 62.2 constitutes a rapidiy changing target. In ASHRAE parlance this is a "continuous maintenance" standard, changes rapidly, and already has been replaced by an updated standard with many significant changes. ASHRAE 62.2-2016 no longer represents the best thinking on the subject of residential ventilation.

Minnesota displayed leadership in residential IAQ and ventilation efficiency when it adopted the current relevant provisions in Chapters 1322 and 1349. Now, model codes and standards around the nation are catching up to Minnesota's leadership, validating the effectiveness and good sense of the current provisions.

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path, ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota will increase and residential IAQ will decline.

Therefore, I request ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for your consideration and acceptance of my comment.

Attachment: Differences between MN Rules and ASHRAE 62.2-2016, and analysis of impacts of proposed rule changes

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Provisions | What happens if $\mathbf{6 2 . 2}$ is allowed as an alternative compliance path in Minnesota? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R403.5: Balanced outdoor air ventilation is required. | Allows for exhaust, supply, or balanced outdoor air ventilation. | A single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements, depressurizing the dwelling unit. Depressurization can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among non-smokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| R403.5.3: Sets a minimum continuous ventilation rate at 40 cm | No minimum ventilation rate | In some dwelling units, no mechanical ventilation at all would be required. |
| R403.5.6.1.2: Temper outdoor air provided directly to habitable spaces. | No requirement to temper outdoor air | Introducing un-tempered outdoor air can be very uncomfortable conditions indoors, so occupants simply disable their ventilation system. The result is worse indoor air quality. In winter, expect too much moisture and potential for condensation and mold growth which is bad for Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) and ultimately compromise a home's structural integrity. <br> It is easy to satisfy the requirement to temper outdoor air by specifying a Heat or Energy Recovery Ventilator (H/ERV), and this saves large amounts of energy in the cold Minnesota climate. ASHRAE 90.1 and the 2021 IECC are likely to require H/ERVs for dwelling units in climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). Minnesota has led the nation in provisions for energy-efficient ventilation, and would vacate this leadership role just as the model codes are beginning to follow its lead. |
| R403.5 Ventilation of conditioned, unfinished basements: outdoor air, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct, must be provided. | No requirement for unfinished spaces. | For homes built on unfinished basements the ventilation rate would be reduced by up to $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. Future versions 62.2 have addressed this problem, but not the 2016 version. |
| R403.5: Ventilation of conditioned crawl spaces: outdoor air, or a | No requirement for crawispaces. | Conditioned crawl spaces would not be ventilated. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Provisions | What happens if 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path in Minnesota? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| minimum of one supply and one return duct, must be provided. |  | [_] |
| R403.5: Preventing distribution shortcircuiting: supply and return ducts are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement. | No requirement for distribution in basements | Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, and the ventilation system does not work. |
| Table R403.5.1: establishes minimum Fan efficacy requirements. | None | Energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly requirement for fan efficacy. <br> The proposed deregulation of energy use of ventilation systems is contrary to Minnesota Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state, since energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to achieve GHG savings. |
| R403.5.2: Extra ventilation capacity: the "total" ventilation flow rate must be twice the continuous outdoor air rate, so extra ventilation capacity is available. | No "total ventilation" requirements; however, there are requirements for local exhaust in addition to outdoor air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| 403.5.6.1: Outdoor air must be distributed to each habitable space. | No distribution requirements; a single bathroom exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home meets the standards. | Ventilation "systems" consisting of a single exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be accepted, but could provide little to no air quality benefit in other areas of the home (e.g. children's bedrooms). |
| R403.5.9: In most cases prohibits simultaneaus connection of both supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system. | No limitations. | Poor installation methods for integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation systems will be allowed: these ventilation systems don't provide acceptable IAQ. |
| R403.5.10: Backdraft dampers are required on supply and exhaust ventilation systems. | No requirements | Energy use will increase because backdraft dampers reduce air leakage from the building when ventilation systems are not operating. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation <br> Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Provisions | What happens if 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path <br> in Minnesota? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R403.5.14.4: Readily-accessible <br> Ventilation System Controls. | Not required in all cases to be readily <br> accessible. | If occupants can't easily control their ventilation systems, they are <br> more likely simply to shut them off. |
| R403.5.6.I.3: In-situ airflow <br> verification required for ail airflows <br> greater than 30 cfm (including <br> exhaust-only and H/ERVs) and <br> available to building official upon <br> request. | In-situ flow verification not required <br> for local exhaust systems. No <br> requirement for making test results <br> available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and <br> operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of <br> providing acceptable lAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective <br> alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided <br> to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |
| R403.5.7: Maximum intermittent <br> ventilation noise level: 2.5 sones. | Maximum intermittent ventilation <br> sone level: 3 sones. No requirement <br> for exhaust fans with a minimum <br> aifflow setting exceeding 400 cfm. | The main reason that range hoods are not operated is because <br> occupants believe they are "too noisy" (study by LBNL). MN's current <br> requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 supports operation of <br> quiet range hoods and consequently, better indoor air quality for <br> occupants. |
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To: Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

From: Alex Reinhardt Install Manager at Genz-Ryan plumbing and Heating
$11 / 22 / 19$

Re: PROPOSAL TO ADD ASHRAE 62.2-2016 TO MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 AS A VENTILATION COMPLIANCE OPTION.

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.

I would like the opportunity to request that ASHRAE 62.2 not be added as a ventilation option to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346

The ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code have been working very well. They lead to better houses being built, with improved IAQ and lower energy cost. The proposal is a step backward.

The Statement of Need and Reasonableness asserts that the ventilation requirements of 62.2-2016 are the same as in our Minnesota code. But that's not the case. Minnesota's rules are much better and contractors in Minnesota have learned that following these rules result in better ventilation systems that really improve IAQ and save energy. If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed in our code, people will have to make a special point of asking for ventilations systems that are as good and easy-to-use as we already are installing.

In conclusion, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Alex Reinhardt

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Scott Forest [SForest@renewaire.com](mailto:SForest@renewaire.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, November 22, 2019 4:52 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | Request for Hearing re Chapter 1346 changes |
| Attachments: | Request for Hearing re Chapter 1346 changes - Forest \& Sowinski.pdf |

TO:
Amanda Spuckler
Department of Labor and Industry
443 Lafayette Road N.
St. Paul, MN 55155
phone (651) 284-5006
fax: (651) 284-5749
Dear Amanda Spuckler,
I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 via the attached letter. Thank you for the consideration and opportunity to comment.

Best,
Scott Forest
President
Off.: (800) 627-4499 ext 2230

## Z氠RenewAlte <br> 

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain information that is privlleged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee, you are notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited

```
From: RenewAire LLC
    2 0 1 ~ R a e m i s c h ~ R o a d ~
    Waunakee WI }5359
To: Department of Labor and Industry
    c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
    4 4 3 \text { Lafayette Road}
    N. St. Paul, MN 55155
```

2019/11/22

Re: PROPOSAL TO ADD ASHRAE 62.2-2016 TO MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 AS A VENTILATION COMPLIANCE OPTION.

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.

We are concerned that adding ASHRAE 62.2 as a ventilation option to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 will lead to unintended and negative outcomes.

The ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code have been working very well. They lead to better houses being built, with improved IAQ and lower energy cost. The proposal is a step backward.

The Statement of Need and Reasonableness asserts that the ventilation requirements of 62.2-2016 are the same as in our Minnesota code. But that's not the case. Minnesota's rules are much better and contractors in Minnesota have learned that following these rules result in better ventilation systems that really improve IAQ and save energy. If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed in the code, people will have to make a special point of asking for ventilation systems that are as good and easy-to-use as contractors all over the state already are installing.

I understand that representatives of our industry have made specific proposals to address these concerns. I sincerely hope that these proposals will meet your concerns

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Mike Sowinski, Director of Finance msowinski@renewaire.com
608-835-2255

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)
From: Pope, Russell [russell.pope@us.panasonic.com](mailto:russell.pope@us.panasonic.com)
Sent: Friday, November 22, 2019 11:08 AM
To:
Subject:
Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)
Attachments:
Panasonic Request for Public Hearing on Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 changes MN Code - Amanda Spuckler.docx

Dear Amanda,
Please kindly receive and review the attached request for public hearing.

Respectfully,

Russell

## Panasonic

"We are dedicated to delivering healthy indoor living solutions- so everyone thrives"

Industry Development Manager
Panasonic Life Solutions Company of America
russell.pope@us.panasonic.com
Mobile (904)735-8409

November 22, 2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
We (Panasonic) hereby reach out to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries within the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

Newport Partners LLC has developed materials that provide a direct comparison of the ventilation requirements in ASHRAE 62.2 and in Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to underperform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $H / E R V$ s in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE $90.1-2019$ for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6.
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Respectfully,


## Russell Pope

Industry Development Manager
Panasonic Life Solutions Company of America

| From: | Patrick Nielsen [Patrick.Nielsen@broan.com](mailto:Patrick.Nielsen@broan.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, November 18, 2019 3:59 PM |
| To: | RULES, DLI (DLI) |
| Subject: | Comment on MN proposed changes to chapter 1346 |
| Attachments: | MN DOLI - Chapter1346 Comment Broan.docx |

Hello Amanda,
Please find the attached comment regarding proposed changes to chapter 1346.

Sincerely,

Patrick Nielsen | Global Technical Products Manager
(262) 673-8534 (office) | (414) 405-2772 (cell)
patrick.nielsen@broan.com \| www.Broan.com

November 18, 2019
Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346
Dear Ms. Spuckler:
The Home Ventilating Institute (HVI), founded in 1955, is an international nonprofit association of the manufacturers of home ventilating products. HVI's core purpose is "To Make Indoor Air Healthier." Through its Certified Ratings Programs, HVI provides a voluntary means for residential ventilation manufacturers to report comparable and creditable product performance information based upon uniformly applied testing standards and procedures performed by independent laboratories. Certified performance ratings include airflow, sound and energy.

Today, HVI represents manufacturers from the United States, Canada, Asia and Europe, producing the majority of the residential ventilation products sold in North America. HVI certification is a prerequisite for obtaining the ENERGY STAR ${ }^{\circledR}$ rating for mechanical ventilation equipment.

We hereby reach out to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries within it the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Newport Partners LLC www.newportpartnersllc.com has developed the attached Appendix A which provides a direct comparison of the ventilation requirements in ASHRAE 62.2 and in Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2.
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Patrick Nielsen (HVI Board of Directors)
Broan-NuTone
patrick.nielsen@broan.com
262673-8534

Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322
Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among nonsmokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: establishes minimum requirements (Table R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the requirement for fan efficacy, meaning the energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This deregulation of |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | energy use of ventilation systems is in direct opposition to Minnesota <br> Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next <br> Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for <br> the state. Energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to <br> achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise savings <br> gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow rate <br> required at twice the <br> continuous outdoor air rate <br> to provide extra ventilation <br> capacity as needed <br> (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" requirements; <br> however, there are requirements for <br> local exhaust in addition to outdoor <br> air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing <br> the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVI <br> Standard 920, 72 hours <br> minus 13² (-IOC) cold <br> weather test or be certified <br> by a registered professional <br> engineer (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold weather test | Without the cold weather test... (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN THE <br> BLANK) |
| Distribution: requires <br> delivery of outdoor air to <br> each habitable space <br> (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution requirement. ASHRAE <br> 62.2 dwelling unit ventilation <br> requirements may be met by a single <br> bathroom exhaust fan located in a <br> remote corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If <br> ASHRAE 62.2 is approved, the requirement for distribution will be <br> removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home <br> (such as the master bedroom) would be approved to provide dwelling <br> unit ventilation. Such a configuration could provide little to no air <br> quality benefit in other areas of the home (such as children's <br> bedrooms). |
| Outdoor air provided directly <br> to habitable spaces shall be <br> tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) | No requirement to temper outdoor <br> air | Introducing outdoor air without tempering it (as approved by 62.2) <br> can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, prompting <br> occupants to disable their ventilation system. Disabling ventilation <br> systems can be expected to result in poor indoor air quality, high <br> moisture, and increased condensation potential that can support <br> mold growth and ultimately compromise a home's structural <br> integrity. Further, builders/designers frequently satisfy the |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | requirement to temper outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These energy-efficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in ASHRAE 90.1 to require H/ERVs for dwelling units in the prescriptive path for climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is expected in the 2021 IECC, based on the public comment hearing vote for approval in October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see proposal CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota, which has led the nation in this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are beginning to follow Minnesota's lead. |
| In-situ airflow verification required if flow greater than 30 cfm and producible to building official upon request (R403.5.6.I.3) | In-situ flow verification only required for outdoor air systems, not local exhaust systems. No requirement for making test results available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |
| Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 2.5 sones R403.5.7) | Maximum intermittent ventilation sone level: 3 sones. No requirement for exhaust fans with a minimum airflow setting exceeding 400 cfm . No requirement for remotely mounted fans. | Studies by Lawrence Berkeley National Lab have shown that a primary reason that range hoods are not operated is because occupants believe they are "too noisy". MN's current requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 supports operation of range hoods and consequently, better indoor air quality for occupants. |
| Prohibits simultaneously connecting both supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system, with exception (R403.5.9) | No limitations to ducting supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system | ASHRAE 62.2 permits poor installation practices when integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation systems - which can render ventilation systems completely ineffective in providing acceptable IAQ. |
| Backdraft dampers are required on supply and exhaust ventilation systems (R403.5.10) | No dampers required on individually ducted supply or exhaust ventilation system | Backdraft dampers help reduce air leakage from the building when ventilation systems are not operating - thereby saving energy. Removing this requirement from MN's code is expected to increase energy use and promote over-ventilation. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Installation of ventilation <br> system components shall <br> minimize transmission of <br> noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for installation to <br> minimize noise and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's current requirements that <br> promote quality installation. |
| Controls are required to be <br> readily accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required to be <br> readily accessible in all cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility of controls and occupants' <br> ability to use them effectively in some cases. |

November 13, 2019

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Re: PROPOSAL TO ADD ASHRAE 62.2-2016 TO MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 AS A VENTILATION COMPLIANCE OPTION.

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.

I would like the opportunity to request that ASHRAE 62.2 not be added as a ventilation option to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346

The ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code have been working very well. They lead to better houses being built, with improved IAQ and lower energy cost. The proposal is a step backward.

The Statement of Need and Reasonableness asserts that the ventilation requirements of 62.2-2016 are the same as in our Minnesota code. But that's not the case. Minnesota's rules are much better and contractors in Minnesota have learned that following these rules result in better ventilation systems that really improve IAQ and save energy. If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed in our code, people will have to make a special point of asking for ventilations systems that are as good and easy-to-use as we already are installing.

In conclusion, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.


Silver Tree Plumbing \& Heating, LLC 1335 Mendota Heights Rd. Mendota Heights, MN 55120

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Matt Matheny [mmatheny@solerpalau.com](mailto:mmatheny@solerpalau.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, November $18,201911: 34$ AM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 |
| Attachments: | S\&P USA Request for Public Hearing on Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 Chang....pdf |

Dear Ms. Spuckler,

S\&P USA requests that the proposals to allow ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 be removed from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Please see attached letter in response to this proposal and confirm receipt of this email at your earliest convenience.

Thank you,
Matt Matheny
Residential Product Manager


S\&P USA Ventilation Systems, LLC
6393 Powers Avenue
Jacksonville, FL 32217 USA
T. (904) 731-4711
F. (904) 737-8322
www.spvg-northamerica.com

## S\&P USA Ventilation Systems, LLC

6393 Powers Avenue
Jacksonville, FL 32217
P. 904-731-4711
F. 904-731-8322
www.spvg-northamerica.com

November 18, 2019
Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155
amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
S\&P USA Ventilation Systems, LLC requests a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 (part of R-04515). Additionally, we request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

Please find the attached Appendix A which provides a direct comparison (and anticipated outcomes) of the ventilation requirements in ASHRAE 62.2 and in Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements.

Furthermore, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2 -compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.


S\&P USA Ventilation Systems, LLC
6393 Powers Avenue
Jacksonville, FL 32217
P. $904-731-4711$
F. 904-731-8322
www.spvgnorthamerica.com
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2 .
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version (2019) incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from 89\% of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.
Sincerely,


Matt Matheny
Residential Product Manager


S郎 USA Ventilation Systems; LLC

6393 Powers Avenue
Jacksonville, FL 32217
Phone: 800-961-7370
Fax: 800-961-7379
www.spvg-northamerica.com
Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaustonly systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among nonsmokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |


| MN Rules, Chapter <br> 1322 Ventilation <br> Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in <br> Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fan efficacy: <br> establishes minimum <br> requirements (Table <br> R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan <br> efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 <br> would remove the requirement for fan <br> efficacy, meaning the energy required to <br> operate ventilation systems could increase <br> significantly. This deregulation of energy <br> use of ventilation systems is in direct <br> opposition to Minnesota Statutes Section <br> 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions <br> Control, Next Generation Act, that <br> establishes GHG emissions reductions goals <br> for the state. Energy efficiency is one of the <br> most cost-effective means to achieve GHG <br> savings, and this rollback would <br> compromise savings gained to date. |
| Total ventilation <br> flow rate required at <br> twice the continuous <br> outdoor air rate to <br> provide extra <br> ventilation capacity <br> as needed (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" <br> requirements; however, <br> there are requirements <br> for local exhaust in <br> addition to outdoor air <br> requirements. | More study would be needed to determine <br> the effects of reducing the ventilation rate <br> on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling <br> units. |
| HRVs must meet <br> HVI Standard 920, <br> 72 hours minus 13'F <br> (-l0 ${ }^{\circ}$ C) cold weather <br> test or be certified by <br> a registered <br> professional engineer <br> (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold <br> weather test | Without the cold weather test... <br> (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN THE |
| Distribution: requires <br> delivery of outdoor <br> air to each habitable <br> space (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution <br> requirement. ASHRAE <br> 62.2 dwelling unit <br> ventilation requirements <br> may be met by a single <br> bathroom exhaust fan <br> located in a remote <br> corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality <br> within a dwelling unit. If ASHRAE 62.2 is <br> approved, the requirement for distribution <br> will be removed, and an exhaust fan located <br> in a remote corner of the home (such as the <br> master bedroom) would be approved to <br> provide dwelling unit ventilation. Such a <br> configuration could provide little to no air <br> quality benefit in other areas of the home <br> (such as children's bedrooms). |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor air provided directly to habitable spaces shall be tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) | No requirement to temper outdoor air | Introducing outdoor air without tempering it (as approved by 62.2) can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, prompting occupants to disable their ventilation system. Disabling ventilation systems can be expected to result in poor indoor air quality, high moisture, and increased condensation potential that can support mold growth and ultimately compromise a home's structural integrity. Further, builders/designers frequently satisfy the requirement to temper outdoor air by specifying an H/ERV. These energyefficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in ASHRAE 90.1 to require $\mathrm{H} / E R V$ s for dwelling units in the prescriptive path for climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is expected in the 2021 IECC, based on the public comment hearing vote for approval in October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see proposal CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota, which has led the nation in this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are beginning to follow Minnesota's lead. |
| In-situ airflow verification required if flow greater than 30 cfm and producible to building official upon request (R403.5.6.1.3) | In-situ flow verification only required for outdoor air systems, not local exhaust systems. No requirement for making test results available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |


| MN Rules, Chapter <br> 1322 Ventilation <br> Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in <br> Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum <br> intermittent <br> ventilation sone <br> level: 2.5 sones <br> R403.5.7) | Maximum intermittent <br> ventilation sone level: 3 <br> sones. No requirement <br> for exhaust fans with a <br> minimum airflow <br> setting exceeding 400 <br> cfm. No requirement for <br> remotely mounted fans. | Studies by Lawrence Berkeley National Lab <br> have shown that a primary reason that range <br> hoods are not operated is because occupants <br> believe they are "too noisy". MN's current <br> requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 <br> supports operation of range hoods and <br> consequently, better indoor air quality for <br> occupants. |
| Prohibits <br> simultaneously <br> connecting both <br> supply and return <br> ventilation air ducts <br> to a forced air <br> circulation system, <br> with exception <br> (R403.5.9) | No limitations to <br> ducting supply and <br> return ventilation air <br> ducts to a forced air <br> circulation system | ASHRAE 62.2 permits poor installation <br> practices when integrating balanced <br> systems with forced air circulation systems <br> - which can render ventilation systems <br> completely ineffective in providing <br> acceptable IAQ. |
| Backdraft dampers <br> are required on <br> supply and exhaust <br> ventilation systems <br> (R403.5.10) | No dampers required on <br> individually ducted <br> supply or exhaust <br> ventilation system | Backdraft dampers help reduce air leakage <br> from the building when ventilation systems <br> are not operating - thereby saving energy. <br> Removing this requirement from MN's <br> code is expected to increase energy use and <br> promote over-ventilation. |
| Installation of <br> ventilation system <br> components shall <br> minimize <br> transmission of noise <br> and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for <br> installation to minimize <br> noise and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's <br> current requirements that promote quality <br> installation. |
| Controls are required <br> to be readily <br> accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not <br> required to be readily <br> accessible in all cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility <br> of controls and occupants' ability to use <br> them effectively in some cases. |

## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Jacki Donner - CEO [exec@hvi.org](mailto:exec@hvi.org) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, November 11, 2019 4:25 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Cc: | 'Mike Moore (mmoore@newportventures.net)'; ola.wettergren; Jim Boldt - Engineering |
|  | Dir |
| Subject: | HVI - Rulemaking Docket for Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 |
| Attachments: | HVI_MN DOLI - Chapter1346 Comment Submission 11112019.pdf |
|  |  |
| Importance: | High |

Ms. Spuckler, please refer to attached PDF for details on our request for a hearing.
Kind regards, Jacki Donner
CEO, HVI



11 November 2019

Department of Labor and Industry
Attn: Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

Via email to: amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us

Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:
The Home Ventilating Institute (HVI), founded in 1955, is an international nonprofit association of the manufacturers of home ventilating products. HVI's core purpose is "To Make Indoor Air Healthier." Through its Certified Ratings Programs, HVI provides a voluntary means for residential ventilation manufacturers to report comparable and creditable product performance information based upon uniformly applied testing standards and procedures from independent laboratories. Certified performance ratings include airflow, sound and energy.

Today, HVI represents manufacturers from North America, South America, Asia and Europe, producing the majority of the residential ventilation products sold in the United States and Canada. HVI certification is a prerequisite for obtaining the ENERGY STAR ${ }^{\circledR}$ rating for mechanical ventilation . equipment.

We hereby reach out to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. This request carries within the request to remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference). Newport Partners LLC (http://www.newportpartnersllc.com/) has developed the attached Appendix A which provides a direct comparison of the ventilation requirements in ASHRAE 62.2 and in Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346. Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code and is expected to increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans. Further, the rationale provided in the SONAR concerning adoption of 62.2 is faulty for the following reasons:

1. The SONAR states, "Because ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322, it is reasonable to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative." This statement is false, as the performance requirements between 62.2 and Chapter 1322 vary drastically, with 62.2-compliant systems expected to under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria (see Appendix A for details).
2. The SONAR claims that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." This statement is false for the following reasons:
a. Air change rates: ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, requiring no mechanical ventilation in some cases, versus a minimum of 40 cfm prescribed by Chapter 1346.
b. Air change rates: Ventilation air change rates for homes on unfinished basements in 62.2 are roughly half of the rates required by Chapter 1322's rates.
c. Ventilation controls: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, but 62.2 does not, meaning that occupants' ability to control their IAQ will be limited under 62.2.
d. Installation: Chapter 1322 requires installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, none of which are required by 62.2 .
3. The text in Chapter 1346.0050 claims that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change." This statement is false, as ASHRAE classifies Standard 62.2 as a standard that is "under continuous maintenance". As such, the standard changes frequently, and the 2016 version proposed for adoption by Minnesota has already been replaced with a subsequent version incorporating several substantive changes.

Approval of 62.2 as an alternative path to Chapter 1322 would increase ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota at a time when the model codes and standards are finally starting to catch up to Minnesota's leadership in this regard. For example:

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7.
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE 90.1-2019 for dwelling unit H/ERVs based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum y, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

For these reasons, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Jacki Donner
CEO

Enclosure: Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322
Appendix A: Comparison of ASHRAE 62.2-2016 and Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balanced ventilation required to provide outdoor air (R403.5) | No requirement for balanced ventilation. Exhaust, supply, or balanced are permitted to provide outdoor air. | Approval of 62.2 would enable a single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air requirements for a dwelling unit. Depressurization caused by exhaust-only systems can compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung cancer among nonsmokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| Minimum continuous ventilation rate of 40 cfm (R403.5.3) | No minimum ventilation rate backstop | Approval of 62.2 could result in the ventilation rate going down to zero in some cases, meaning no mechanical ventilation is would be required for some dwelling units. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned, unfinished basements, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Approval of 62.2 would reduce the ventilation rate by as much as $50 \%$ for homes on unfinished basements. 62.2 recognized this as a problem and modified the requirement in future versions, but the 2016 version is still broken. |
| Outdoor air for conditioned crawlspaces, or a minimum of one supply and one return duct (R403.5) | No requirement. Outdoor air only required in finished spaces, based on definition in ANSI Standard Z765. | Unless addressed elsewhere in MN's code, approval of 62.2 would remove any provisions to ensure that conditioned crawls meet minimum requirements. |
| Distribution: where a supply and return duct are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, they must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement to avoid short circuiting (R403.5) | No requirement for distribution in basements | Approval of 62.2 would remove all air distribution requirements from MN's code. Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, rendering the ventilation system ineffective. |
| Fan efficacy: establishes minimum requirements (Table R403.5.1) | No requirement for fan efficacy | Approval of 62.2 as an alternative to 1322 would remove the requirement for fan efficacy, meaning the energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly. This deregulation of energy use of ventilation systems is in direct opposition to Minnesota |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, Chapter 1322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state. Energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to achieve GHG savings, and this rollback would compromise savings gained to date. |
| Total ventilation flow rate required at twice the continuous outdoor air rate to provide extra ventilation capacity as needed (R403.5.2) | No "total ventilation" requirements; however, there are requirements for local exhaust in addition to outdoor air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| HRVs must meet HVI Standard 920, 72 hours minus $13^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ cold weather test or be certified by a registered professional engineer (R403.5.5) | No requirement for cold weather test | Without the cold weather test... (MANUFACTURERS TO FILL IN THE BLANK) |
| Distribution: requires delivery of outdoor air to each habitable space (R403.5.6.1) | No distribution requirement. ASHRAE 62.2 dwelling unit ventilation requirements may be met by a single bathroom exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home. | Distribution supports uniform air quality within a dwelling unit. If ASHRAE 62.2 is approved, the requirement for distribution will be removed, and an exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be approved to provide dwelling unit ventilation. Such a configuration could provide little to no air quality benefit in other areas of the home (such as children's bedrooms). |
| Outdoor air provided directly to habitable spaces shall be tempered (R403.5.6.1.2) | No requirement to temper outdoor air | Introducing outdoor air without tempering it (as approved by 62.2) can result in very uncomfortable conditions indoors, prompting occupants to disable their ventilation system. Disabling ventilation systems can be expected to result in poor indoor air quality, high moisture, and increased condensation potential that can support mold growth and ultimately compromise a home's structural integrity. Further, builders/designers frequently satisfy the requirement to temper outdoor air by specifying an $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$. These |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | energy-efficient systems save large amounts of energy, especially in <br> cold climates. In fact, recent action has been taken in ASHRAE 90.1 to <br> require H/ERVs for dwelling units in the prescriptive path for climate <br> zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). A similar requirement is <br> expected in the 2021 IECC, based on the public comment hearing <br> vote for approval in October 2019 (pending final on-line voting; see <br> proposal CE133 to the IECC). Minnesota, which has led the nation in |
| this regard, would be stepping back from its leadership role in |  |  |
| energy-efficient ventilation just as the model codes are beginning to |  |  |
| follow Minnesota's lead. |  |  |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 <br> Ventilation Requirements | ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Corollary | Anticipated Outcome of Adopting 62.2 in Place of MN Rules, <br> Chapter 1322 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Installation of ventilation <br> system components shall <br> minimize transmission of <br> noise and vibration <br> (R403.5.13) | No requirements for installation to <br> minimize noise and vibration | Approval of 62.2 would remove MN's current requirements that <br> promote quality installation. |
| Controls are required to be <br> readily accessible <br> (R403.5.14.4) | Controls are not required to be <br> readily accessible in all cases. | Approval of 62.2 would limit accessibility of controls and occupants' <br> ability to use them effectively in some cases. |
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## Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

From:<br>Sent:<br>To:<br>Subject:<br>Ola Wettergren [ola.wettergren@systemair.net](mailto:ola.wettergren@systemair.net)<br>Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:59 PM<br>Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)<br>Request for Public Hearing on Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 changes

Dear Mrs. Spuckler,
Systemair, using the brand name Fantech (web-site www.fantech.net) in the residential ventilation market in North America, request that the proposals to allow ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 be removed from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

The Minnesota code's ventilation provisions were innovative at the time they were introduced, providing efficient ventilation requirements that have worked effectively in Minnesota's relatively harsh climate. Balanced ventilation with heat or energy recovery is the most cost effective way to provide healthy indoor air quality to the benefit of inhabitants, and protecting the structure of housing from humidity related problems in most climate zones in North America.

Utilization of balanced ventilation with hear or energy recovery is not as widely adopted in the US as in most other developed countries. The efficiency and cost effectiveness of these products is gradually being recognized with codes and standards in many areas of the US increasingly requiring, or recognizing the benefits of, HRV's and ERV's.

Allowing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path in Minnesota would be a big step backward resulting in higher energy consumption. Further, we see ever greater recognition of the negative effects of poor indoor air quality on health and productivity. Systemair therefore hereby requests a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 (part of R-04515).

We would appreciate your confirmation that this E-mail was received, and submitted in an acceptable format to be considered as a comment requesting a hearing.

Thank you in advance for your consideration, and feel free to contact me if we can be of assistance.

Sincerely,
Ola Wettergren
Business Development Director

Systemair
10048 Industrial Blvd., Lenexa, KS, 66215, , United States
Phone: 913.752.6000
Mobile: 941.350.7055
ola.wettergren@systemair.net
www.systemair.net

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)
From: Matthew B. Rimnac [mrimnac@mnair.com](mailto:mrimnac@mnair.com)
Sent: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:48 PM
To:
Subject: RULES, DLI (DLI)

Attachments:
Request for removal of 62.2
MN DOLI - Chapter 1346 Comment.docx

Amanda,

Please see my attached letter about my concerns on 62.2 as an alternate compliance path to chapter 1322

Thanks you for your time.
Matt Rimnac

To: Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155

From: Matt Rimnac

Nov 8, 2019

Re: PROPOSAL TO ADD ASHRAE 62.2-2016 TO MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346 AS A VENTILATION COMPLIANCE OPTION.

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I would like to request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346.

I would like the opportunity to request that ASHRAE 62.2 not be added as a ventilation option to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 from both Chapter 1346

The ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code have been working very well. They lead to better houses being built, with improved IAQ and lower energy cost. The proposal is a step backward.

The Statement of Need and Reasonableness asserts that the ventilation requirements of 62.2-2016 are the same as in our Minnesota code. But that's not the case. Minnesota's rules are much better and contractors in Minnesota have learned that following these rules result in better ventilation systems that really improve IAQ and save energy. If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed in our code, people will have to make a special point of asking for ventilations systems that are as good and easy-to-use as we already are installing.

In conclusion, please remove ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this comment and for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Matt Rimnac

Spuckler, Amanda (DLI)

| From: | Matthew Friedlander [mfried@renewaire.com](mailto:mfried@renewaire.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, November 06, 2019 2:46 PM |
| To: | Spuckler, Amanda (DLI) |
| Subject: | 2019-11-06 Request for Public Hearing Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 |
| Attachments: | MN DOLI - Chapter1346 Comment Friedlander 2019-11-05.pdf |

Dear Ms. Spuckler,

Thank you for your assistance over the last few weeks.

Attached please find my request for a public hearing with regards to Chapter 1346. It includes my comments on some of the proposed changes.

Sincerely,

Matthew Friedlander
mfried@renewaire.com 608-850-2270 800-627-4499 x 2270
RenewAire LLC

RenewAire LLC
201 Raemisch Road
Waunakee WI 53597

2019/11/05

Department of Labor and Industry
c/o Ms. Amanda Spuckler
443 Lafayette Road
N. St. Paul, MN 55155
amanda.spuckler@state.mn.us

## Re: RULEMAKING DOCKET FOR MINNESOTA RULES CHAPTER 1346

Dear Ms. Spuckler:

I respectfully request a hearing on the proposed changes to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1346 (part of R-04515).

I additionally request that the proposals to allow ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 be removed from both Chapter 1346 and also Chapter 1309 (by reference).

Introducing ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative path to Minnesota Rules Chapter 1322 would significantly weaken the ventilation provisions of Minnesota's code. These provisions have been successfully implemented by the market place and have provided significant indoor air quality and energy-savings benefits to Minnesota purchasers of new homes.

The proposal to all ASHRAE 62.2 will increase ventilation fan energy use by removing Chapter 1322's cost-effective fan efficacy requirements for fans.

The justification in the SONAR for adoption of 62.2 is misleading and erroneous:

1. The SONAR asserts that "ASHRAE 62.2 has the same performance requirements for ventilation as Minnesota Rules, Chapter 1322" as an argument to permit the use of ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternate compliance path. In fact, the performance requirements of 62.2 and Chapter 1322 are very different, and 62.2-compliant systems under-perform Chapter 1322 compliant systems across over a dozen criteria.
2. The SONAR claims incorrectly that "Minnesota Rules, chapter 1322, and ASHRAE 62.2 have the same requirements for ventilation air change rates, ventilation controls, and ventilation system installation." The two are different in at least four areas:
a. Installation requirements: ASHRAE 62.2 does not require installation of balanced systems with distribution and also field verification of local exhaust flow rates, but Chapter 1322 does.
b. Control of Ventilation: Chapter 1322 requires all ventilation controls to be readily accessible, so that occupants' have full control over their Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) systems, but ASHRAE 62.2 does not.
c. Air change rates:
i. ASHRAE 62.2 permits ventilation rates to go to zero, and in some cases requires no mechanical ventilation. Chapter 1346 as it stands requires a minimum of 40 cfm .
ii. Chapter 1322 requires roughly double the ventilation air change rates for unfinished basements than does ASHRAE 62.2.

These are not just technical or editorial differences. They represent a significant step backwards for the indoor air quality and health of Minnesota home-buyers. See the attachment for the many other differences.

The proposed text in for Chapter 1346.0050 adds the statement that "ASHRAE 62.2 is not subject to frequent change". Actually, ASHRAE 62.2 is a rapidly changing target. In ASHRAE parlance this is a "continuous maintenance" standard, changes rapidly, and already has been replaced by an updated standard with many significant changes. ASHRAE 62.2-2016 no longer represents the best thinking on the subject of residential ventilation.

Minnesota displayed leadership in residential IAQ and ventilation efficiency when it adopted the current relevant provisions in Chapters 1322 and 1349. Now, model codes and standards around the nation are catching up to Minnesota's leadership, validating the effectiveness and good sense of the current provisions.

1. ASHRAE 90.1-2019 Section 6.5.6.1 now encourages balanced ventilation systems by requiring dwelling units following the prescriptive path to use H/ERVs in most climate zones, including Minnesota's climate zone 6 and 7 .
2. The 2021 IECC is expected to have identical language to ASHRAE $90.1-2019$ for dwelling unit $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{ERV}$ s based on the action taken at the Group B public comment hearings in October 2019 (proposal CE133 garnered support from $89 \%$ of voting members at the hearings). Additionally, ASHRAE 62.2-2019 addendum $y$, which recently completed a public review, proposes to require supply or balanced systems for dwelling units.
3. New York's Stretch Code now requires dwelling units to have balanced ventilation in climate zone 4 and balanced ventilation with heat recovery in climate zone 5 and 6 .
4. Washington State is proposing to require balanced ventilation with heat recovery for dwelling units in its next energy code.

If ASHRAE 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path, ventilation energy use for dwelling units in Minnesota will increase and residential IAQ will decline.

Therefore, I request ASHRAE 62.2 as an alternative compliance path under Chapter 1346 and, by reference, Chapter 1309.

Thank you for your consideration and acceptance of my comment.
Sincerely,


Matthew Friedlander
VP Research \& Development
RenewAire LLC
mfried@renewaire.com
608-850-2270
Attachment: Differences between MN Rules and ASHRAE 62.2-2016, and analysis of impacts of proposed rule changes
Attachment: Differences between MN Rules and ASHRAE 62.2-2016, and analysis of impacts of proposed rule changes

| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation <br> Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Provisions | What happens if 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path <br> in Minnesota? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R403.5: Balanced outdoor air <br> ventilation is required. | Allows for exhaust, supply, or <br> balanced outdoor air ventilation. | A single bathroom exhaust fan to be used to provide the outdoor air <br> requirements, depressurizing the dwelling unit. Depressurization can <br> compromise air quality and occupant health by introducing <br> contaminated air from adjacent spaces such as garages, attics, <br> crawlspaces, as well as facilitating entrainment of radon gas where <br> present below the foundation. Radon is the primary cause of lung <br> cancer among non-smokers in the U.S., according to the EPA. |
| R403.5.3: Sets a minimum <br> continuous ventilation rate at 40 <br> cfm. | No minimum ventilation rate | In some dwelling units, no mechanical ventilation at all would be <br> required. |
| R403.5.6.1.2: Temper outdoor air <br> provided directly to habitable spaces. | No requirement to temper outdoor <br> air | Introducing un-tempered outdoor air can be very uncomfortable <br> conditions indoors, so occupants simply disable their ventilation <br> system. The result is worse indoor air quality. In winter, expect too <br> much moisture and potential for condensation and mold growth <br> which is bad for Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) and ultimately compromise a <br> home's structural integrity. |
| It is easy to satisfy the requirement to temper outdoor air by |  |  |, | specifying a Heat or Energy Recovery Ventilator (H/ERV), and this |
| :--- |
| saves large amounts of energy in the cold Minnesota climate. |
| ASHRAE 90.1 and the 2021 IECC are likely to require H/ERVs for |
| dwelling units in climate zones 6 and 7 (see 2019 Section 6.5.6.1). |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 Provisions | What happens if 62.2 is allowed as an alternative compliance path in Minnesota? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| minimum of one supply and one return duct, must be provided. |  |  |
| R403.5: Preventing distribution shortcircuiting: supply and return ducts are used to meet ventilation requirement for basement, must be separated by $1 / 2$ the diagonal dimension of the basement. | No requirement for distribution in basements | Without minimum separation distances for supply and return ducts, short circuiting of ventilation air can result, and the ventilation system does not work. |
| Table R403.5.1: establishes minimum Fan efficacy requirements. | None | Energy required to operate ventilation systems could increase significantly requirement for fan efficacy. <br> The proposed deregulation of energy use of ventilation systems is contrary to Minnesota Statutes Section 216H.02, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control, Next Generation Act, that establishes GHG emissions reductions goals for the state, since energy efficiency is one of the most cost-effective means to achieve GHG savings. |
| R403.5.2: Extra ventilation capacity: the "total" ventilation flow rate must be twice the continuous outdoor air rate, so extra ventilation capacity is available. | No "total ventilation" requirements; however, there are requirements for local exhaust in addition to outdoor air requirements. | More study would be needed to determine the effects of reducing the ventilation rate on indoor air quality in Minnesota dwelling units. |
| 403.5.6.1: Outdoor air must be distributed to each habitable space. | No distribution requirements; a single bathroom exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home meets the standards. | Ventilation "systems" consisting of a single exhaust fan located in a remote corner of the home (such as the master bedroom) would be accepted, but could provide little to no air quality benefit in other areas of the home (e.g. children's bedrooms). |
| R403.5.9: In most cases prohibits simultaneous connection of both supply and return ventilation air ducts to a forced air circulation system. | No limitations. | Poor installation methods for integrating balanced systems with forced air circulation systems will be allowed: these ventilation systems don't provide acceptable IAQ. |
| R403.5.10: Backdraft dampers are required on supply and exhaust ventilation systems. | No requirements | Energy use will increase because backdraft dampers reduce air leakage from the building when ventilation systems are not operating. |


| MN Rules, Chapter 1322 Ventilation <br> Requirements | Comparable ASHRAE 62.2-2016 <br> Provisions | What happens if $\mathbf{6 2 . 2}$ is allowed as an alternative compliance path <br> in Minnesota? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R403.5.14.4: Readily-accessible <br> Ventilation System Controls. | Not required in all cases to be readily <br> accessible. | If occupants can't easily control their ventilation systems, they are <br> more likely simply to shut them off. |
| R403.5.6.I.3: In-situ airflow <br> verification required for all airflows <br> greater than 30 cfm (including <br> exhaust-only and H/ERVs) and <br> available to building official upon <br> request. | In-situ flow verification not required <br> for local exhaust systems. No <br> requirement for making test results <br> available to building official. | Site verification of flow rates confirms that systems are installed and <br> operating properly. Local exhaust systems are a critical component of <br> providing acceptable IAQ. Prescriptive duct sizing can be an effective <br> alternative to flow-rate verification, but guidelines must be provided <br> to ensure that alternative methods are properly executed. |
| R403.5.7: Maximum intermittent <br> ventilation noise level: 2.5 sones. | Maximum intermittent ventilation <br> sone level: 3 sones. No requirement <br> for exhaust fans with a minimum <br> airflow setting exceeding 400 cfm. | The main reason that range hoods are not operated is because <br> occupants believe they are "too noisy" (study by LBNL). MN's current <br> requirement for lower sone rates than 62.2 supports operation of <br> quiet range hoods and consequently, better indoor air quality for <br> occupants. |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ https://www.revisor.mn.gov/rules/pdf/1300.0030/2015-01-23\%2012:36:45+00:00

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Rudd and Bergey, Building Science Corporation, Ventilation System Effectiveness and Tested Indoor Air Quality Impacts, 2014, Prepared for National Renewable Energy Laboratory,
    https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy14osti/61128.pdf

