# PB0168.RFA.Jeff Hill Section 611.0.Revised 3/5/2025

# Includes letters of support from Clean Water Council (10.28.2024) & MPCA (10.29.2024)

**Plumbing Board Request for Action** 

PRINT IN INK or TYPE		_	
NAME OF SUBMITTER		PURPOSE OF REQUEST (check	د all that apply): 🔲 New Code
		Code Amendment	epeal of an existing Rule
The Minnesota Plumbing Cod	e (MN Rules, Chapter 4714) is	available at <u>https://epubs.iapn</u>	no.org/2020/MPC/
Specify the purpose of the p apply)	proposal: If recommendation f	or code change for appurtenan	ce or method (check all that
Appurtenance (e.g., water	conditioning equipment)	Test Method	
Other (describe)			
Does your submission cont	ain a Trade Secret?	ΠNο	
If Yes, mark "TRADE SECRE	<b>T</b> " prominently on each page	of your submission that you be 1(b), defines "trade secret" as f	
method, technique or pro subject of efforts by the in secrecy, and (3) that deri to, and not being readily its disclosure or use.	cess (1) that was supplied by ndividual or organization that a ves independent economic va ascertainable by proper mean	luding a formula, pattern, comp the affected individual or organ ire reasonable under the circun lue, actual or potential, from no s by, other persons who can ob	ization, (2) that is the instances to maintain its t being generally known stain economic value from
secret" information at a public	meeting of the Board or comm	It public, the Board and its com nittee if reasonably necessary f request.) The record of the me	or the Board or committee to
	<b>ige.</b> The Minnesota Plumbing	Code (Minnesota Rules Chapt	
<ul><li>your purpose.</li><li>The proposed change, ind</li></ul>	cluding suggested rule languag strike through deleted words.	e all parts of the Code that requ ye, should be <i>specific</i> . If modify ould be affected.	
For Office/Committee U			
Date Proposer notified of gaps:	Mode of notification (e.g., e-mail)	Date returned to Proposer:	Date materials re-received:
Office Use Only	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
RFA File No.	Date Received by DLI	Dated Received by Committee	Date of Forwarded to Board
Title of RFA		:Ву	·
Committee Recommendation to t	he Board: 🗌 Accept 📃 Reject	Abstain	
Board approved as submitted:	Yes No	Board approved as modified:	Yes 🗌 No

**Need and Reasons For the Change.** Thoroughly explain the need and why you believe it is reasonable to make this change. During a rulemaking process, the need and reasonableness of all proposed rule changes must be justified; therefore, a detailed explanation is necessary to ensure the Board thoroughly considers all aspects of the proposal.

If your product/method standard(s) is not currently listed in a national code, your Request For Action will not be considered by the Board or its committees, however, you are welcome to present at any Board meeting during the Open Forum section of the Agenda.

The proposal must be accompanied by copies of any published standards, the results of testing, and copies of any product listings, as documentation of the health, sanitation and safety performance of any materials, methods, fixtures, and/or appurtenances. If none are available, please explain:

Please attach electronic scanned copies of any literature, standards and product approvals or listings. Printed or copyrighted materials, *along with written permission from the publisher to distribute the materials at meetings*, and email to <u>DLI.ccldboards@state.mn.us</u>

Primary reason for change: (check only one)	
Protect public, health, safety, welfare, or security	Mandated by legislature
Lower construction costs	Provide uniform application
Encourage new methods and materials	Clarify provisions
Change made at national level	Situation unique to Minnesota
Other (describe)	
Anticipated benefits: (check all that apply)	
Save lives/reduce injuries	Provide more affordable construction
Improve uniform application	Provide building property
Improve health of indoor environment	Drinking water quality protection
Provide more construction alternatives	Decrease cost of enforcement
Reduce regulation Other (describe)	

The Following Information is Optional. This Information can Assist in Evaluating a Request for Action and in Rulemaking and Should be Provided if Known.
Economic impact: (explain all answers marked "yes")
1. Does the proposed change increase or decrease the cost of enforcement?
2. Does the proposed change increase or decrease the cost of compliance? Yes No If yes, explain Include the estimated cost increase or decrease, and who will bear the cost increase or experience the cost decrease:
3. Are there less costly or intrusive methods to achieve the proposed change? Yes No If yes, explain
4. Were alternative methods considered? Yes No If no, why not? If yes, explain what alternative methods were considered and why they were rejected.
5. If there is a fiscal impact, try to explain any benefit that will offset the cost of the change. If there is no impact, mark "N/A."
6. Provide a description of the classes of persons affected by a proposed change, who will bear the cost, and who will benefit.
7. Does the proposed rule affect farming operations? (Agricultural buildings are exempt from the Minnesota Building Code under Minnesota Statutes, Section 326B.121.)
Are there any existing Federal Standards?  Yes No If yes, list:
Are there any differences between the proposed change and existing federal regulations? Yes No No Not applicable Unknown If yes, describe each difference & explain why each difference is needed & reasonable.
Minnesota Statutes, section 14.127, requires the Board to determine if the cost of complying with proposed rule changes in the first year after the changes take effect will exceed \$25,000 for any small business or small city. A small business is defined as a business (either for profit or nonprofit) with less than 50 full-time employees and a small city is defined as a city with less than ten full-time employees.
During the first year after the proposed changes go into effect, will it cost more than \$25,000 for any small business or small city of comply with the change? Yes No If yes, identify by name the small business(es or small city(ies).

Will this proposed plumbing code amendment require any local government to adopt or amend an ordinance or other regulation in order to comply with the proposed plumbing code amendment? Government(s) and ordinances(s) that will need to be amended in order to comply with the proposed plumbing code amendment.

Additional supporting documentation may also be attached to this form. Are there any additional comments you feel the Committee/Board may need to consider? If so, please state them here:

### Information regarding submitting this form:

- Submissions are received and heard by the Committee on an "as received" basis. Any missing documentation will
  delay the process, and your proposal will be listed as the date it was received "Complete."
- Submit any supporting documentation to be considered, such as manufacturer's literature, approvals by other states, and engineering data electronically to <u>DLI.CCLDBOARDS@state.mn.us</u>. Once your Request For Action form has been received, it will be assigned a file number. Please reference this file number on any correspondence and supplemental submissions.
- For copyrighted materials that must be purchased from publishers, such as published standards, product approvals or testing data, listings by agencies (IAPMO, ASSE, ASTM, etc.,) you may send (or email) two copies, *along with written permission from the publisher to distribute the materials at meetings*, via U.S. Mail to: Plumbing Board, c/o Department of Labor and Industry, 443 Lafayette Road No., St. Paul, MN 55155-4344.
- For materials that must be submitted by U.S. Mail, please include a copy of your "Request For Action" form originally submitted and reference your assigned RFA file number.

#### Information for presentation to the Committee and/or Board:

- Limit presentations to 5 minutes or less.
- Be prepared to answer questions regarding the proposal and any documentation.

### Information regarding Committee and/or Board function:

• The Plumbing Board or designated Committee.

NAME	EMAIL /	ADDRESS	FIRM NAME			
			ESENTER TO THE CO		erent):	
NAME, I HONE NOT					erentj.	
MAILING STREET A	DDRESS		CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE
PHONE		SIGNATURE (or	iginal or electronic)	DATE		

5. If there is a fiscal impact, try to explain any benefit that will offset the cost of the change.

In the area of chloride discharge, the MPCA believes strongly that a reduction in chloride discharge is necessary. If this is accomplished by the plumber, water conditioning contractor or homeowner the environmental benefit would be significant. Chloride is creating considerable cost to municipalities due to the difficulty of permitting wastewater treatment. Alternate solutions include large central water treatment plants - including municipal R.O. The proposed code language involves plumbers and water conditioning contractors in reducing chloride discharge through proper selection and adjustment of equipment. The failure to reduce chloride discharge will have costs associated with softener bans, plumbing scale, equipment damage and energy consumption.

6. Provide a description of the classes of persons affected by a proposed change, who will bear the cost, and who will benefit.

Plumbers, water conditioning contractors and homeowners will have to know or test the water hardness and set equipment accordingly. The owner will likely see a reduced salt cost and the environment will benefit from lower water use and salt discharge. Assemblers and manufacturers of water conditioners will have the task to label equipment, but building owners and service personnel will be better able to assess and repair equipment. Health based drinking water treatment will have clearly understood standards, assuring the correct product is applied.

# 611.0 Differences

Water Conditioning Recommendations for Minnesota Plumbing Code 2024

These are the main areas of differentiation from UPC:

- <u>611.0 Title & Scope.</u> UPC 2024 titles section 611.0 as "Drinking Water Treatment Units." Minnesota Statue has a good and broad definition of Water Conditioning as "appliances, appurtenances, and fixtures designed to treat water so as to alter, modify, add or remove mineral, chemical or bacterial content." Minnesota 2015 code used that language and continued that water conditioning "includes but is not limited to ion exchange water softeners, backwashing water filters, oxidizing water filters, cartridge filters, chemical feed cartridges, ultraviolet lights and equipment for reverse osmosis, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, pH adjustment, nitrate and arsenic removal and absorption onto activated carbon. The Plumbing Board might consider returning this language to code to assist Building Officials, plumbers and water conditioning contractors to understand the scope of 611.0 more broadly than "Drinking Water Treatment Units."
- <u>Right to assemble.</u> We wish to continue the code language in MN 2015 and MN 2020 that allows Minnesota licensed people to assemble equipment with certified safe materials. Water conditioning often requires customization to the site and to the local water. Minnesota supports several small manufacturers that produce equipment for local conditions. While building codes move inexorably toward testing and certification of all products, this does not fit well in water conditioning – particularly for private wells. In water conditioning systems – softeners and backwashing filters in particular – this eliminates the ability of dealers and manufactures to customize equipment for unique water or unique water use applications. Minnesota manufacturers are today responding to combinations of emerging contaminants – arsenic, nitrate, PFOS - with unique combinations of water treatment. Testing and certification of every combination as a specific model would significantly reduce the options available to consumers.
- 2. Listed standards.
  - a. General. We wish to simplify the listed standards. We support WQA efforts nationwide for appropriate standards to convince regulators of the "Final Barrier" concept, which is the use of POU/POE for health-related contamination. This requires certification. We list here the important standards.
  - b. Alkaline Water. Alkaline water is given the highest priority in the UPC 2024 code at least it is the first thing mentioned. Alkaline water might be eliminated -- or at least reduced in prominence.

- c. Scale Reduction. Similarly, scale reduction is given prominence (#2 mention) although there are not technology based certified devices. There are now two phosphate feeders that have now met the standard Z601.
- 3. <u>Labeling.</u> Much of the water conditioning installed in Minnesota is unidentifiable as to its purpose. For a new homeowner or new servicing technician, there is no way to know if a tank has carbon, catalytic media, arsenic media or filter sand. While softeners are identifiable by their brine tank, there is often no manufacturer, capacity, or model number.
- 4. <u>Chloride discharge.</u> Time clocks are banned. A water softener should be set for the water and the installation, and the settings documented.
- 5. <u>Isolation and bypass</u>. UPC 2024 does not call for bypasses, and it should. MN 2020 calls for bypasses too broadly RO units do not need a bypass. We have attempted to define necessary valving.



P: 3/28/24

Minnesota Plumbing Code - MWQA 2024 Recommendations Rev. M

# 611.0 Water Conditioning Equipment

**611.1 Application.** Water conditioning equipment consists of appliances, appurtenances and fixtures designed to treat water so as to alter modify add or remove mineral chemical or bacterial content. Water conditioning equipment shall comply with the requirements in this section.

**611.1.1 Manufacture and Assembly.** Water conditioning equipment shall: (1) be manufactured as a complete system; or (2) be assembled as a complete system by a licensed plumbing contractor or licensed water conditioning contractor, using various types of water conditioning equipment. Wetted surface materials used in residential water conditioning equipment shall comply with ANSI/NSF 61 standards, or the equipment shall comply with the applicable ANSI/NSF standards as listed in table 1701.1:

Filters (aesthetic)	NSF/ANSI 42
Filters (health claims)	NSF/ANSI 53
Ultraviolet Disinfection	NSF/ANSI 55
Reverse Osmosis	NSF/ANSI/CAN 58
Distillation	NSF/ANSI 62
Alkaline Water	IAPMO/IGC 322
Water Softeners	NSF/ANSI 44

The Committee and Jeff Hill agreed to strike "residential" and "CAN" and add Water Softeners NSF/ANSI44.

**Exception**: Water Conditioning equipment that treats water for nonpotable uses that are protected by an approved backflow device, assembly, or method as required in chapter 6, as amended.

**611.1.2 (submitted as 611.1.3, revised by Committee on 11/6 and approved by Hill) Labeling.** All conditioning equipment shall be labeled by:

(1) the manufacturer of the equipment manufactured as a complete system; or

(2) the licensed plumbing contractor or licensed water conditioning contractor who assembled the complete system so as to clearly identify the type of equipment and the name and address of the manufacturer, licensed plumbing contractor, or licensed water conditioning contractor.

**611.2 Air Gap Discharge.** Any Discharge from drinking water treatment units shall enter the drainage system through an air gap in accordance with Table 603.3.1, or an air gap device that complies with Table 603.2, NSF/ANSI 58, or IAPMO PS 65.

**611.3 Connection Tubing.** The tubing to and from water conditioning units shall be of a size and material as recommended by the manufacturer. The tubing shall comply with the requirements of NSF 14, NSF 42, NSF 44, NSF 53, NSF 55 and SF 58 NSF 62, or the appropriate material standards referenced in table 1701.1.

## 611.4 Sizing of Residential Softeners Water Conditioners.

<u>611.4.1 Sizing.</u> Residential-use <u>point-of-entry</u> water <del>softeners</del> <u>conditioners</u> shall be sized in accordance with Table 611.4.

**TABLE 611.4** 

Sizing of Residential Point-of-Entry Water Conditioners<sup>4</sup>

Required Flow a	at a Maximum Pressure	Loss of 15 PSI	
Hot and Cold Conditioned (GPM)	Hot Only Conditioned (GPM)	Number of Bathroom Groups Served <sup>1</sup>	
7	5	1-2 <sup>2</sup>	
9	7	3	
10	8	4 <sup>3</sup>	

REQUIRED SIZE OF SOFTENER CONNECTION (inches)	NUMBER OF BATHROOM GROUPS SERVED <sup>1</sup>
3/4	up to 2 <sup>2</sup>
1	up to 4 <sup>3</sup>

For SI units: 1 inch = 25 mm

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Installation of a kitchen sink and dishwasher, laundry sink, and automatic clothes washer are permitted without additional size increase.

<sup>2</sup> An <u>One</u> additional water closet and lavatory are permitted.

<sup>3</sup> Over four-bathroom groups, the softener conditioner shall be engineered sized for the specific installation.

<sup>4</sup> See also Appendix A Recommended Rules for Sizing the Water Supply System, and Appendix C, Alternate Plumbing Systems, for alternate methods of sizing water supply systems.

**611.4.2 Chloride Discharge**. Residential water softeners shall be sized, designed, and programmed for salt efficiency and to minimize excess discharge of chloride. Softeners shall include water meters, hardness sensors, or other devices designed to initiate regeneration only when media is exhausted or when

At the meeting on 11/6/2024, the Committee tabled the Notes section until appendices can be reviewed

Strikeout (MN 2020)

March 5, 2025: The Committee resolved to accept Note 4 with the following revision: See also Appendix A Recommended Rules for Sizing the Water Supply System, and Appendix C, Alternate Plumbing Systems, for alternate methods of sizing water supply systems. protection from media fouling is required. Water softeners relying on time clocks alone for initiation of regeneration are prohibited. Water softeners shall be labeled by the installer with efficiency information, including incoming water hardness as grains per gallon, softener capacity as gallons per regeneration, method of regeneration initiation, and salt use in pounds per regeneration. The Committee and Jeff Hill agreed to strike this language at the meeting on Nov. 6, 2024.

**611.5** <u>Scale Reduction Devices.</u> Water conditioning equipment for scale reduction other than by ion exchange water softening shall comply with IAPMO/ANSI Z 601.

**<u>611.6</u> Isolation and By-pass.** Every water conditioning installation shall include the installation of isolation valves and a by-pass valve a shut off valve. Point of entry equipment and equipment serving multiple domestic fixtures shall have a by-pass appurtenance or a by-pass valve and isolation valves on the inlet and outlet of the equipment which would allow the equipment to be serviced or removed without the need for shutting off the water service completely.

March 5, 2025: The Committee resolved to accept 611.6 Isolation and By-pass with the following revision: Every water conditioning installation shall include the installation of isolation valves and a by-pass valve a shut off valve. Point of entry equipment and equipment serving multiple domestic fixtures shall have a by-pass appurtenance or a by-pass valve and isolation valves on the inlet and outlet of the equipment which would allow the equipment to be serviced or removed without the need for shutting off the water service completely. Exception: A water conditioning device that serves a point of use outlet shall not be required to have a bypass.



October 28, 2024

Mr. Richard Becker Chair, 2024 UPC ad hoc Rulemaking Committee Minnesota Plumbing Board 443 Lafavette Road N St. Paul, MN 55155

Ms. Lyndy Logan Executive Secretary, CCLD Minnesota Plumbing Board 443 Lafavette Road N St. Paul, MN 55155

# Re: Letter of Support for PB 0168, 611.4.2 Chloride Discharge

Dear Mr. Becker and Ms. Logan:

On behalf of the Minnesota Clean Water Council, I would like to express support for proposed changes to the plumbing code in the request for action numbered PB 0168.

The Clean Water Council is a state advisory council created in Minn. Stat. 114D.30 to advise on the administration and implementation of the Clean Water Legacy Act. The Council is concerned with reducing or avoiding impairments to Minnesota's surface waters among other duties.

Among our top priorities is chloride, both from road de-icer and water softeners. More efficient water softeners discharge less chloride into wastewater treatment, allowing wastewater treatment plants to stay within their chloride effluent limits for their discharge permits.

To that end, the Clean Water Council has supported the phasing out of timed water softeners in its policy platform. The proposed changes to 611.4.2 in the PB0168 amendment would fulfill this objective.

Thank you for your consideration, and please contact me at paul.gardner@state.mn.us or 651-757-2384 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Paul Gardne

Paul Gardner Administrator

# MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North | St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194 | 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 | Use your preferred relay service | info.pca@state.mn.us | Equal Opportunity Employer

October 29, 2024

SENT VIA EMAIL

Richard Becker Chair, 2024 UPC ad hoc Rulemaking Committee Minnesota Plumbing Board richardb@steeneng.com

Lyndy Logan Executive Secretary, CCLD, DLI Minnesota Plumbing Board <u>lyndy.logan@state.mn.us</u>

RE: Letter of Support: PB 0168, 611.4.2 Chloride Discharge

Dear Richard Becker and Lyndy Logan:

I am writing on behalf of the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) to express support for the Minnesota Water Quality Association's proposed update to Minnesota Plumbing Code 611.4.2 Chloride Discharge.

Chloride is a toxic pollutant to aquatic life. Very small amounts can pollute lakes and rivers, and once chloride enters a water body it cannot be removed. The longer we take to properly manage this pollutant, the more expensive and challenging it will be to address it in the future. Because of this, the most effective way to protect water resources from chloride pollution is to prevent its release to lakes and rivers.

The MPCA created a Chloride Reduction Program that has been in operation since 2017. This program is responsible for assisting communities reduce chloride at the source. Our program provides training to a wide range of audiences and tools to permitees and stakeholders to reduce the amount of chloride entering our surface waters and groundwater and offers financial assistance to communities and businesses for chloride reduction activities. We are also in process of developing a training to educate and support plumbers and water softening professionals to reduce chloride discharges from water softening activities. While we have made important progress in adding source reduction tools to the chloride management toolbox, more options are needed to protect our water resources.

Chloride from water softening activities is one of the largest sources of chloride pollution to water resources. Water softening discharges travel to wastewater treatment plants, where they are released straight into lakes and rivers. Almost 100 such facilities exist in Minnesota. In private septic systems, chloride from water softening is not degraded. It gradually moves into and contaminates groundwater. Therefore, it is important that we continue to find ways to reduce the amount of chloride from water softening activities to reduce chloride from this source.

Richard Becker Lyndy Logan Page 2 October 29, 2024

We understand that the Minnesota Water Quality Association has proposed language for Minnesota Plumbing Code to assist in the reduction of chloride discharge from water softeners. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency is supportive of the proposed language: <u>611.4.2 Chloride</u> <u>Discharge</u>. Residential water softeners shall be sized, designed, and programmed for salt efficiency and to minimize excess discharge of chloride. Softeners shall include water meters, hardness sensors, or other devices designed to initiate regeneration only when media is exhausted or when protection from media fouling is required. Water softeners relying on time clocks alone for initiation of regeneration are prohibited. Water softeners shall be labeled by the installer with efficiency information, including incoming water hardness as grains per gallon, softener capacity as gallons per regeneration, method of regeneration initiation, and salt use in pounds per regeneration.

We hope that the committee will implement this proposed update to the Plumbing Code. Minnesota needs to move to modern, high efficiency water softeners and appropriate selection, adjustment, and programming by their installers. Building officials, building owners, licensed plumbers and water conditioning contractors will be a significant part of a solution to reduce chloride pollution to surface and groundwaters. Please feel free to contact me with questions on MPCA's chloride reduction activities or how the MPCA can assist with communication to the plumbing community.

Sincerely,

Dana A. Vanderbosch

This document has been electronically signed.

Dana A. Vanderbosch Assistant Commissioner for Water Policy and Agriculture Minnesota Pollution Control Agency