#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

LeadingAge Minnesota and Care	Case No	
Providers of Minnesota,		

Plaintiffs,

v. COMPLAINT

Nicole Blissenbach, in her official capacity as Commissioner of the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry, the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry, and the Minnesota Nursing Home Workforce Standards Board,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs LeadingAge Minnesota and Care Providers of Minnesota (together, "Plaintiffs"), as and for their Complaint against Defendants Nicole Blissenbach, in her official capacity as the Commissioner of the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry, the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry, and the Minnesota Nursing Home Workforce Standards Board (together, "Defendants"), state and allege as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This lawsuit challenges the Proposed Expedited Permanent Modifying Certification Criteria, Notice Posting Requirements, and Holiday Pay Rules for Nursing Home Workers, Minnesota Rules, Part 5200.2000 to 5200.2050 (the "Proposed Rule") promulgated by the recently created "Minnesota Nursing Home Workforce Standards Board."

- 2. The Proposed Rule seeks to mandate time-and-a-half "holiday pay" for specific categories of workers for specific employers in a specific industry. That is, the Proposed Rule seeks to mandate such "holiday pay" for certain "nursing home workers" of "nursing home employers" starting on January 1, 2025. The Proposed Rule also seeks to provide methods for the modification of the holiday pay mandate if "agreed upon by a majority of affected nursing home workers." Proposed Rule 5200.2010, subp. 2.
- 3. By mandating "holiday pay" for nursing home workers and purporting to sanction a quasi-collective bargaining process to modify the same, the Proposed Rule is plainly preempted by the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRA"), in that it: (a) authorizes activity arguably prohibited by the NLRA and (b) regulates conduct that Congress intended to leave unregulated.
- 4. As a result, Plaintiffs seek an injunction against the enforcement of the Proposed Rule, a declaration that the Proposed Rule is preempted by NLRA and therefore invalid and unenforceable, and any other relief that this Court deems appropriate.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff LeadingAge Minnesota is a non-profit corporation organized under Minnesota law and headquartered in Minnesota. LeadingAge Minnesota is an association of over 1,000 member organizations across Minnesota, representing organizations providing services along the full spectrum of post-acute care and long-term services and support, including what are commonly referred to as "nursing homes."
- 6. Plaintiff Care Providers of Minnesota is a non-profit corporation organized under Minnesota law and headquartered in Minnesota. Care Providers of Minnesota is an

association of over 1,000 member organizations across Minnesota, representing organizations providing services along the full spectrum of post-acute care and long-term services and support, including what are commonly referred to as "nursing homes."

- 7. Defendant Nicole Blissenbach (the "Commissioner") is the Commissioner of the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry.
- 8. Defendant Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry (the "Department") is a Minnesota state government department.
- 9. Defendant Minnesota Nursing Home Workforce Standards Board (the "Board") is a Minnesota state government agency within the Department, established by the Minnesota Nursing Home Workforce Standards Board Act, Minn. Stat. §§ 181.211 to 181.217 (the "Act").

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 10. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over this matter due to Plaintiffs' claim that proposed rules issued by the Board are preempted by the National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 151-169 (the "NLRA").
- 11. This Court has the authority to issue declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 12. Venue is proper in this Court because all parties reside in Minnesota and the cause of action arose in Minnesota.

#### **BACKGROUND**

#### I. The National Labor Relations Act.

- 13. The National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 151-169 (the "NLRA") is a federal statute enacted in 1935 to govern collective bargaining rights and labor-management relations in the private sector.
- 14. Section 7 of the NLRA provides rights to private sector employees as follows:

Employees shall have the right to self-organization, to form, join, or assist labor organizations, to bargain collectively through representatives of their own choosing, and to engage in other concerted activities for the purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or protection, and shall also have the right to refrain from any or all of such activities except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment....

### 29 U.S.C. § 157.

- 15. Section 8 of the NLRA provides that it is unfair labor practice for an employer to "interfere with, restrain, or coerce employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed in section 157 of this title." 29 U.S.C. § 158(a)(1).
- 16. Section 8 of the NLRA further provides that it is an unfair labor practice for an employer to "dominate or interfere with the formation or administration of any labor organization..." 29 U.S.C. § 158(a)(2).
- 17. Courts have recognized two different theories of federal preemption under the NLRA.
- 18. First, state law may be preempted under <u>San Diego Building Trades Council</u>

  <u>v. Garmon</u>, 359 U.S. 236 (1959). Under <u>Garmon</u>, the NLRA preempts the states from

regulating conduct that is "arguably protected or prohibited" by the NLRA. Among other things, <u>Garmon</u> preemption prohibits states from both (a) setting forth standards of conduct inconsistent with the substantive requirements of the NLRA; and (b) providing their own regulatory or judicial remedies for conduct prohibited or arguably prohibited by the NLRA.

19. Second, state law may be preempted under Machinists v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission, Lodge 76, Intern. Ass'n of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, AFL-CIO v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission, 427 U.S. 132 (1976). Under Machinists, a state may not regulate conduct, even if it is neither protected nor prohibited by the NLRA, if the conduct is within the zone of activity that Congress meant to be left to the free play of economic forces.

#### II. The Minnesota Nursing Home Workforce Standards Board Act.

- 20. In May 2023, the Minnesota Legislature passed, and the Governor signed into law, the Act which, among other things, established the Board. See 2023 Minnesota Laws ch. 53, art. 3 §§ 3-10 S.F. No. 3035 (2023); Minn. Stat. §§ 181.211 to 181.217.
  - 21. As is relevant here, the Act required Defendants to adopt rules as follows:

The board must adopt rules establishing minimum nursing home employment standards that are reasonably necessary and appropriate to protect the health and welfare of nursing home workers, to ensure that nursing home workers are properly trained about and fully informed of their rights under sections 181.211 to 181.217, and to otherwise satisfy the purposes of sections 181.211 to 181.217. Standards established by the board must include standards on compensation for nursing home workers, and may include recommendations under paragraph (c).

Minn. Stat. § 181.213, subd. 1(a).

- 22. The Act defines "nursing home workers" as "any worker who provides services in a nursing home in Minnesota, including direct care staff, non-direct care staff, and contractors, but excluding administrative staff, medical directors, nursing directors, physicians, and individuals employed by a supplemental nursing services agency. Minn. Stat. § 181.211, subd. 9.
- 23. The Act defines "nursing homes" to mean licensed nursing homes and boarding cares licensed under state law. Minn. Stat. § 181.211, subd. 7.
- 24. The Act defines "nursing home employers" as "an employer of nursing home workers in a licensed, Medicaid-certified facility that is reimbursed under chapter 256R." Minn. Stat. § 181.211, subd. 9.
- 25. The Act further required Defendants to "adopt rules establishing initial standards for wages for nursing home workers no later than November 1, 2024." Minn. Stat. § 181.213, subd. 1(b). The Act provided that the Board "may use the authority in section 14.389"—the expedited rulemaking process—to adopt such rules. Minn. Stat. § 181.213, subd. 1(b).
- 26. The Act further provides that it is unlawful for a nursing home employer to employ a nursing home worker for lower wages or other compensation than that established as the minimum nursing home employment standards. Minn. Stat. § 181.217, subd. 1.
- 27. The Act authorizes the Commissioner to investigate possible violations of the standards to be established by the Board. Minn. Stat. § 181.217, subd 2. Additionally, the Commissioner is authorized to enforce Chapter 181, which includes the Act. <u>See Minn.</u> Stat. § 181.1721.

## III. The Proposed Rule.

- 28. On August 2, 2024, Defendants published a draft of the Proposed Rule.
- 29. On August 26, 2024, Defendants published notice of intent to adopt the Proposed Rule.
- 30. The final version of the Proposed Rule was scheduled to be published with the State Register on November 18, 2024. However, the Board has delayed this final publication, and now appears to intend to publish the final version at some point in December 2024.
- 31. The Proposed Rule requires that, beginning January 1, 2025, any "nursing home worker" who works any "holiday" must be paid time-and-a-half for all hours worked during the holiday. Minn. R. 5200.2010, subp. 1.
  - 32. The Proposed Rule defines "holiday" to include the following dates:
    - a. New Year's Day January 1;
    - b. Martin Luther King's Birthday the third Monday in January;
    - c. Washington's and Lincoln's Birthday the third Monday in February;
    - d. Memorial Day the last Monday in May;
    - e. Juneteenth June 19;
    - f. Independence Day July 4;
    - g. Labor Day the first Monday in September;
    - h. Indigenous Peoples' Day the second Monday in October;
    - i. Veterans Day November 11;
    - j. Thanksgiving Day the fourth Thursday in November; and

- k. Christmas Day December 25.
- Minn. R. 5200.2000, subp. 4.
- 33. Each of the above holidays is comprised of the 24-hour period from midnight preceding the holiday to midnight at the end of the holiday. Minn. R. 5200.2000, subp. 4.
- 34. The Proposed Rule also purports to sanction the modifications of holiday days and times upon an agreement of a "majority" of "affected nursing home workers." Minn. R. 5200.2010, subp. 2. More specifically:
  - a. "The start and stop times for the 24-hour period comprising a holiday can be modified by a nursing home employer if agreed upon by a majority of affected nursing home workers or the exclusive representative of the affected nursing home workers if one exists."
  - b. "A nursing home employer may substitute up to four holidays for an alternate day in the same calendar year if the substitution is agreed upon by a majority of affected nursing home workers or the exclusive representative of the affected nursing home workers if one exists."
  - c. "Any agreement to modify a holiday date or time must be made in the calendar year preceding the start of the calendar year in which the modified holiday is observed. There must be written record of an agreement under this item."
  - d. "The nursing home employer must retain a record of agreement to modify a holiday date or time under item C for a minimum of three years following the observation of the modified holiday."

- Minn. R. 5200.2010, subp. 2(A)-(D).
- 35. The Proposed Rule does not contain a "severability" clause, and therefore a reviewing court may not sever any portion of the Proposed Rule that violates state or federal law and must instead invalidate the entire Proposed Rule if any portion violates state or federal law.
- 36. Defendants recently published regulatory guidance that specifically authorizes non-union employers to engage in quasi-collective bargaining with a purported "majority" of their non-union employees:
  - Q: I want to switch 4 holidays on the list for other holidays. We are not unionized. How do we determine how to arrive at agreement on this with a majority of affected nursing home workers?
  - A: First determine who in your staff qualify as nursing home workers under the rules. A majority would be fifty percent of those workers plus one. Then find a way to discuss and make a decision together. This could be a meeting with a vote at the end, a survey monkey, a petition in the break room, or any other reasonable way for workers to let their voices be heard. Just keep in mind that you will need to keep a record of the agreement for a minimum of 3 years after the observation of the modified holiday. That could look like meeting minutes, records of vote numbers and when the vote was held, a copy of the petition or something similar.
  - Q: I want to change the timing of the holiday to be from midnight to 11:59 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. the night before to 5:59 p.m. the day of the holidays. We are not unionized. How do we determine how to arrive at agreement on this with a majority of affected nursing home workers?
  - A: First determine who in your staff qualify as nursing home workers under the rules. A majority would be fifty percent of those workers plus one. Then find a way to discuss and make a decision together. This could be a meeting with a vote at the end, a survey monkey, a petition in the break room, or any other reasonable way for workers to let their voices be heard. Just keep in mind that you will need to keep a record of the agreement for a minimum of 3 years after the observation of the

modified holiday. That could look like meeting minutes, records of vote numbers and when the vote was held, a copy of the petition or something similar.

Available at: <a href="https://www.dli.mn.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/nhwsb\_how\_to\_holiday\_pay\_111424.pdf">https://www.dli.mn.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/nhwsb\_how\_to\_holiday\_pay\_111424.pdf</a>.

- 37. Many of Plaintiffs' members are "nursing home employers" who employ "nursing home workers" subject to the Proposed Rule. Plaintiffs' "nursing home employer" members include those with both unionized and non-unionized workforces.
- 38. By mandating "holiday pay" and purporting to sanction a quasi-collective bargaining process to modify the holiday dates or times, the Proposed Rule: (a) authorizes activity arguably prohibited by the NLRA under <u>Garmon</u>; and (b) regulates conduct that Congress intended to leave unregulated under <u>Machinists</u>. As such, it is preempted by the NLRA and is invalid and unenforceable.
- 39. The Proposed Rule authorizes quasi-collective bargaining with a "majority" of "affected nursing home workers" in violation of Section 7 and Section 8(a)(2) of the NLRA. Specifically, the Proposed Rule authorizes "nursing home employers" to negotiate with a "majority of affected nursing home workers," including "supervisors" as defined by Section 2(11) of the NLRA and non-employee "contractors." As such, the Proposed Rule authorizes activity prohibited or at least arguably prohibited by the NLRA under <u>Garmon</u>. As such, the Proposed Rule is preempted by the NLRA and is invalid and unenforceable.
- 40. By mandating "holiday pay" and purporting to sanction a quasi-collective bargaining process to modify the holiday dates or times, the Proposed Rule regulates

Rosenbaum explained in <u>Thunderbird Mining Co. v. Ventura</u>, 138 F. Supp. 2d 1193, 1196 (D. Minn. 2001), a state statute will be preempted under <u>Machinists</u> if "[the state]'s statutory scheme intrudes into the collective bargaining process." Like the statute in <u>Thunderbird Mining</u>, the Proposed Rule "improperly shifts the carefully crafted 'balance of bargaining power struck by Congress" and is therefore preempted under <u>Machinists</u>. As such, the Proposed Rule is preempted by the NLRA and is invalid and unenforceable.

41. The Proposed Rule is not a "minimum labor standard" because it specifies specifically when the holiday pay wage must be paid and how the employer and the union can negotiate changes in the hours of the holiday and change up to four holiday dates. This micro-management cannot be viewed as setting forth a minimum labor standard. The Proposed Rule does not "merely provide the backdrop for negotiations" but instead interferes with the entire process of collective bargaining. The Proposed Rule is not "neutral." Machinists, 427 U.S. at 156 (Powell, J., concurring). Instead, the Proposed Rule openly "reflect[s] an accommodation of the special interests," id., and thus "is more properly characterized as an example of an interest group deal in public-interest clothing." Chamber of Commerce of U.S. v. Bragdon, 64 F.3d 497 (9th Cir. 1995) (holding that a prevailing wage ordinance "is pre-empted by the NLRA because it is an undue governmental interference with the collective-bargaining processes protected by that Act"); see also 520 S. Michigan Ave. Assocs., Ltd. v. Shannon, 549 F.3d 1119 (7th Cir. 2008) (holding that a state statute is preempted under Machinists because the statute's "narrow scope of application . . . serve[d] as a disincentive to collective bargaining.").

#### **COUNT I: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

- 42. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. As set forth above, the Proposed Rule plainly: (a) authorizes activity arguably prohibited by the NLRA under <u>Garmon</u>; and (b) regulates conduct that Congress intended to leave unregulated under <u>Machinists</u>.
- 44. As such, the Proposed Rule is preempted by the NLRA and is invalid and unenforceable.
- 45. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment declaring that the Proposed Rule is preempted by the NLRA and is invalid and unenforceable.

#### **COUNT II: INJUNCTION**

- 46. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 47. As set forth above, the Proposed Rule plainly: (a) authorizes activity arguably prohibited by the NLRA under <u>Garmon</u>; and (b) regulates conduct that Congress intended to leave unregulated under Machinists.
- 48. As such, the Proposed Rule is preempted by the NLRA and is therefore invalid and unenforceable.
- 49. If Defendants are permitted to enforce the Proposed Rule, Plaintiffs' "nursing home employer" members will suffer harm by forcing them to comply with a preempted and unenforceable Proposed Rule, and making these members elect between violating the NLRA or the Proposed Rule.

50. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction which enjoin Defendants from enforcing the Proposed Rule.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. A preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from enforcing the Proposed Rule;
- 2. A declaration that the Proposed Rule is preempted by the NLRA and is therefore invalid and unenforceable; and
  - 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

Dated: November 26, 2024 FELHABER LARSON

By: /s/ Grant T. Collins

Grant T. Collins, MN #390654 Brandon J. Wheeler, MN #396336 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402-4504 Telephone: (612) 339-6321

Facsimile: (612) 338-0535 gcollins@felhaber.com bwheeler@felhaber.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

# CASE 0:24-cv-0420VILDeOVER 511-01/26/24 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FC						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
LeadingAge Minnesota and Care Providers of Minnesota			ota	Nicole Blissenbach, the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry, and the Minnesota Nursing Home Workforce Standards Board					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Hennepin				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Ramsey					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attornova (Firm Name	Adduses and Tolonkon Numb	au)		Attorneys (If Known)					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 1	•		(221	Attorneys (1) Known)					
Grant Collins and Bra 220 South Sixth Street			-0321	Unknown					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF PF (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PA		Place an "X" in ( nd One Box for L		r Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	n of This State	1 Incorpo	orated <i>or</i> Prin		<b>PTF</b> 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	nip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2 <u> </u>		rincipal Place	<u> </u>	<u></u> 5
				n or Subject of a	3	n Nation		<u> </u>	<u></u> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)		<u>,                                     </u>	Click here for: Na	ature of Si	uit Code Des	cription	<u>s.</u>
CONTRACT		ORTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY		OTHER STATUTES		
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	Y 62:	5 Drug Related Seizure	422 Appeal 28 U	SC 158	375 False C		,
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	H690	of Property 21 USC 881 O Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		376 Qui Tai 3729(a		,
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/			INTELLECT	UAL	400 State R	eapportion	ment
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RI	GHTS	410 Antitru 430 Banks a		ng.
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			820 Copyrights 830 Patent		450 Comme		'E
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal			835 Patent - Abb		460 Deporta		and and
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			New Drug A 840 Trademark	pplication	470 Rackete Corrupt	t Organizat	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT		LABOR	880 Defend Trad	e Secrets	480 Consun		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	☐ <sup>710</sup>	Fair Labor Standards Act	Act of 2016		(15 US) 485 Telepho	SC 1681 or	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	<b>X</b> 720	) Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECU	RITY	_	tion Act	nei
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	_ E	Relations	861 HIA (1395ff)		490 Cable/S		
196 Franchise	Injury  362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	862 Black Lung ( 863 DIWC/DIW	` '	850 Securiti Exchar		odities/
	Medical Malpractice	1 Todact Elability	H'3	Leave Act	864 SSID Title X		890 Other S		ctions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		Other Labor Litigation	865 RSI (405(g))		891 Agricul		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	H'/9	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX	SIHTS	893 Enviror 895 Freedor		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		meeme seemin, riev	870 Taxes (U.S. 1		Act	01 1111011	
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence			or Defendan	*	896 Arbitra		
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	871 IRS—Third 1 26 USC 760		899 Admini	istrative Pro view or Ap	
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application				Decision	Pear or
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights	er 46:	5 Other Immigration Actions			950 Constit		of
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions			State St	atutes	
		560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)	•					1		
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop		District	Multidistric Litigation - Transfer		Multidist Litigation Direct Fi	n -
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	atute under which you are	e filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional state	<u> </u>				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	National Labor Relation	ns Act, 29 U.S.C. 151-169	9; Declara	tory Judgment, 28 USC 22	201				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	ause: by state agency is preemp	pted by NI	_RA.					
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				CMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: claratory Judgment JURY DEMAND: Yes X No			nt:		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)								
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NU	MBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY O	F RECORD					
Nov 26, 2024		/s/Grant T. Collins							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" II. in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. **Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code IV. that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes. V.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.